

Docket #2



A
B

C
D

E
F

G
H

I
J

K
L

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q

R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z



A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
M_c
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Altamatt, Ray + Rava
 Alexandre, Arnold
 American Forest Products Corp.

vs

Chantier, Richard + Tanna Mangasat 56

✓

Wm. Richard Ryan + Jos. K. Washburn 69

✓

Richard H. Graham 85

Billy Brown Pack Out 81t vs William Henry Douglas 25
Betty, h. H. ~ Jim Tunnyhill

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Calif. Coll. Agency
A. E. Cronel & Eva L. Cronel
W. R. & Husilla Cohlman
E. W. Cantun & Thelma B. Cantun
County of Madena
County of Madena
County of Madena
Cantun, E. W., aka The Old Corned
Cohlman, W. R.
Cohlman, W. R.
✓ ✓
✓ ✓

44

Mark M^c Allister, et al

vs

O. D. Smith & Benny Grace Smith

vs

Charles Pence

vs

Clifford Sanderson & ^{Joni's} Sanderson

✓

E. B. Suttle, et al

✓

S. L. Kinby

✓

S. L. Kinby

✓

C. E. Brown

✓

John G. Roth et al

✓

Ralph Bowes

✓

William Caldwell

✓

Terry Sandens

49

56

57

58

59

60

64

66

74

83

Taylor Teaford	vs	Doug De Masters	4
U.S. Credit Bureau	vs	Doug. De Masters	17
" " "	vs	" "	18
Carl L. Millier	vs	E. J. Cozart, et al	20
Hiel, Emmanuel	vs	Bernard C and Florence Horney	48
DeSilva, John & Vingian	✓	Wacker, Henschfelt et al	78
Honnell, Lloyd J.	✓	Andrew Cigallo	88

C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

E
F

EGC, Fresno Collection Service

rs

belogd Lee Marshall

Page

EW Canton

✓

C. E Brown

46

E.

61

Taylor Teaford	vs	Vincent Filliciano	3
Fresno Collection Service	vs	Wesley F. Mathus, et al	29
Fresno Collection Service	vs	Robert O. Foniater, et al	31

E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Taylor Leaped
vs.

Goode, Charlie & Mollie

Grissom, J. E.

Gustafson, P. E.

11
~~188~~

vs

Joe A. Smith & Mrs. Joe A. Smith

62

✓

R. H. Boswell

84

G
H

~~Taylor Leaford~~
vs. ~~Haley Carl~~
Taylor Leaford
" "

Hanson, C. Ray
Henson, V. F.
Hoggard, James M

7
vs. Carl Haley 7
vs. J. R. Hossack 8

vs L. B. Bunnett 45
- James Ralph Turner + Henshaw Dick Tex 67
✓ Stephen Andizzone 80

G
H

I
J

K
L

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q

R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z

I
J

Jones - Williams
Jones Williams

vs. Virgil Jones
1.5 Malcolm B. Taylor

21
22

I
J
K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Klette, Olga
Kimbro, Joyce

vs

Carl L. Johnson

79

✓

Charles Homan et al

81

K
L

hanson, Manjorie
hanson, Manjorie

vs

hyde E. Ellis

65-

✓

hyde E. Ellis

70

x

K
L

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q

R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z

Mark M^cAlliston

vs

William Hoogue

33

Mark W. M^cAlliston

vs

Paul Bahrenfus

42

M
Mc

Taylor Traftord
Carl L. Mullen

vs

Pat McKeefer

Page

5

vs

E. J. Cozart, et al

20

M
Mc

N
O

P
Q

R
S

T
U

V
W

Y
Z

Nash, Bill

vs

J. W. Crump

77

NO

N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

People of the State of California vs Tim Jones, Gertrude Jones, et al 54

P
Q

P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

United States Credit Bureau, Inc.

v.s. Jack Romere

6

Jay

Rippy, Granville C

vs

A. Van Hoonabeke

72

c.a

Retail Adjust. & Coll. Bureau

✓

Roseae & Blanche Harmon

87

R
S

			Page
6	Taylor Teaford	vs	R.E. Scott 2
	" "	vs.	Mary Sherman 9
2	C.A. Ellis	vs	Roy Russell and Robert Russell 10
7	Smith, Leslie B	vs	Rondo J. Bonner
	Sturgeon, W.H., Inc.	vs	H.B. Davis 23
	Sturgeon, W.H., Inc.	vs	Joe Phlego 24
	Statheer, Wm. M., et al	vs	William Henry Long 25
	Sturgeon, W.H., Inc.	vs	Michael Allen, John & Jane Lee 22
	Sturgeon, W.A., Inc.	vs	Herland R. Faith, et al 34
	Scott, Earl E.	vs	J.W. Donnell, et al 38
	Sturgeon, W.H., Inc.	vs	Louis R. & Adeline L. Burnett 50
	Stones Collection	✓	Inda Ruth Rice 73
	Smith, Leslie B	✓	Paul Egonoff 76
	Saaty, Joseph & Lucille H	✓	P. Evans & Jane Mae Evans 86

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Taylor Teaford
Taylor Teaford

Toune, Harmon B.

20

vs.

vs.

E.C. Taylor

George Breed & Leona Breed

Alex Hachadounian

Page

1

12

41

T
U

U.S. Credit Bureau

" " "

✓ ✓ ✓

vs

Long Lu Mastens, et al

17

vs

" " "

18

✓

Ernest B. Suttle

55


T
U
V
W
Y
Z

V
W

Wentz, Henry, Johna Fernie	vs	W. Mas E. ...	13
Wells, Earl	vs	Rondo J. ...	14
Welken, Jack H	vs	Manuel Gonzales, et al	19
Wilt, W. J	vs	Tom Lawson Norris, Jr., et al	30
Weatherly, Johna Fernie	vs	James F. Taylor	41
Wasson, Geo M & Elsie P.	vs	Thomas Wasson & Edna Wasson	47
Williams Resorts, Inc.	vs	Clifford L. Sanderson	53
Wagner, Waymond	vs	Paul S. & Wm. A. Coltrane	71
Whitman, Walter F	-	Robert E. Smith	75
Woof, Paul E.	✓	Tim Haggard	82

Y
Z

Y
Z



In the Justice's Court of ELGIN Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Taylor Teaford

Action Open Account, Grocery bill

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 57.41

R. E. Scott

Attorney for Plaintiff

175

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

[illegible]

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADER, State of California

Taylor Teaford

Plaintiff

vs.

Vencent Filliciano

Defendant

Action Open account, grocery billDemand, \$ 165.37

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1944	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
March 18	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Plaintiff on March 20 th , 1944	4.00	
July 28	Defendant answers by mail and admits owing said bill and asked to be allowed to pay on the monthly installment plan.		
Aug 7	Plaintiff granted Judgement Wherefore, by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that Taylor Teaford, plaintiff, do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of \$165.37 principal and his costs herein taxed at \$13.00 Total Judgement \$178.37 Done in open Court this 7 day Aug, 1944.		
Aug 8	Notice of Judgement mailed to Defendant Affidavit and Writ of Attachment filed		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MAN, State of California

Taylor Telford

Action Open account, grocery bill

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 126.24

Doug DeMasters

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

[illegible]

Taylor Teaford

Action Open account, grocery bill

Plaintiff

vs.

Prof. Mc. Keeher

Demand, \$ 64.81

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

[illegible]

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

United States Credit Bureau, Inc.,
a Corporation,

Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Romero, John Doe and Jane Doe

Defendant

Action Account Stated

Demand, \$208.62, Interest 7% per annum and cost of action

Laverne M. Hayer

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1944	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 23	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Morris I. Horne Constable 5 th Township, Madera County, Calif. Writ of Attachment issued	4.00	
1947			
May 9	Summons for judgment by default entered by plaintiff		
May 9	Copy of general service of summons having been made upon defendants, and no answer or demurrers having been filed by defendants in above action within the time specified; now therefore, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that United States Credit Bureau, Inc., a corporation, do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of \$208.62 principal together with interest at the rate of 7% per annum from the 15 th day of June 1941 on the sum of \$26.59 principal at 7% per annum from the 4 th day of May 1943 on the sum of \$141.44 principal; and 9% per annum from the first day of August 1942 on the sum of \$40.59, all said forth in sixth, seventh and eighth causes. Together with costs in the amount of \$4.00; all of which constitutes a total of \$208.62 principal, \$6.69 interest, and \$4.00 costs; and such judgment is entered by default in accordance with the provisions of Section 585 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.		
	Morris M. Putney		
	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FIFTH TOWNSHIP MADERA COUNTY NORTH FORK, CALIF.		
May 14	Petition for abstract of judgment received by mail from plaintiff. See judgment. Abstract issued this	1.00	
May 15	15 th day of May, 1947 and mailed to		

Aug. 30, 1947 - Recorded abstract received from Laverne M. Hayer, City, Los Angeles, Calif.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Taylor Teabond

Action Open account, grocery bill.

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 172.50 and Cost

J. R. Hossack

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

[illegible]

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Jay W. Johnson

Plaintiff

vs.

Mary Sherman

Defendant

Action open account, grocery bill

Demand, \$110.34 and cost

Commercial Service Collectors,
E. J. Crews, Agent Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1945	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Feb 1st 1948	Complaint filed and Summons issued and to plaintiff	4 00	
June 7	Defendant in court, admits and acknowledges debt, ^{whereby judgment is awarded plaintiff} but states she has no money. She further states she expects to go to work in August, and agrees that she will pay as follows:- Beginning on the 15th day of August, 1948, and on the 30th, and the 15th and 30th of each succeeding month, the sum of \$20.00 until judgment of \$110.34, plus costs, shall be satisfied in full.		
July 2	Affidavit of costs filed. Affidavit of non-military service filed. Total judgment rendered in favor of plaintiff as follows:- Principal \$110.34 Interest - 25.27 Costs - 9.75 Making a total of \$145.36.		
July 7	Notice of rendition of judgment mailed to all parties to action, except plaintiff's agent. No address available.		
July 11	Writ of Execution issued.		
July 17	Execution returned unserved by Constable E. H. Mason for the reason no instructions were given as to property from which judgment could be satisfied.		
Aug 26	Letter from plaintiff's agent asking for Notice of Rendition of Judgment. Notice mailed, since address is now available.		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of PLACER, State of California

C. a. Ellis

Action Labor claim

Plaintiff

vs.

Roy Russell and Robert Russell

Demand, \$ 25.¹²/₁₀₀ and cost of Court

Marion A. Bailey

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
1945			Plaintiff	Defendant
April	6th	Complaint filed and Summons issued	4.00	
May	11th	Plaintiff states he has been paid in full.		
May	11th	Above case is hereby dismissed.		
		Lee Enlow		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Taylor Seaford

Plaintiff

vs.

Charlie Good & Mollie Good

Defendant

Action Open account, grocery bill

Demand, \$ 297⁸⁰

Mason A. Bailey

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
1945			Plaintiff	Defendant
Dec.	11	Complaint filed and Summons issued	\$ 4 00	
"	17	Summons returned.		
"	17	Defendant in court. Judgment awarded in the sum of \$297 ⁸⁰ , plus \$4 ⁰⁰ costs, to plaintiff; Defendant paid \$100 ⁰⁰ on account and agrees to pay \$30 ⁰⁰ per month beginning May 15 th 1946, and on each succeeding 15 th day of the month, until judgment be satisfied in full.		
Dec.	17	Abstract ^{Notice of rendition} of judgment entered; Bill of Costs entered.		
		Done in open court this 17 th day of Dec, 1945		
		Marcia M. Putney		
1946				
May	16	\$30 ⁰⁰ paid into this court by Charlie Good leaving balance due of \$171 ⁸⁰		
June	20	\$30 ⁰⁰ paid - Balance due \$141 ⁸⁰		
Aug	20	\$60 ⁰⁰ paid - Balance due \$81 ⁸⁰		
1948				
Apr	13	E. B. Smith, agent for Plaintiff, petitions for Writ of Execution. Writ of Execution issued this 13 th day of April, 1948, and mailed to E. H. Mason, Constable of 5 th Township for service.		
Apr	22	Execution returned unserved with Constable's statement that no instructions were received as to what property was to be levied on.		
		May 26, 1948 - Defendant, Charlie Good, appears in court, and pays balance of judgment as follows: - Balance due on judgment - \$81.80; together with costs of service of papers by E. H. Mason, Constable, of \$4.20. Judgment is therefore satisfied in full.		

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
FIFTH TOWNSHIP
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
CALIFORNIA

Marcia M. Putney

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera, State of California

John Weatherly and
Bernie Weatherly, dfa
Weatherly's Store
vs.
Douglas De Martin

Plaintiff

Action Open account, grocery
bill

Demand, \$ 160.67 and costs.

David E. Beckingrah
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>46</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
May 24	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Affidavit and writ of attachment issued against defendant's property located at 1000 North Main St., Madera, Calif.	4.00	
May 24	Return on summons and writ of attachment made.		
May 27	Defendant appeared in court and acknowledged the debt. Judgment awarded to plaintiff in the sum of \$160.67, plus costs to be assessed.		
May 31	Defendant paid the sum of \$22.42.		
June 1	Set of costs filed.		
June 1	Notice of rendition of judgment issued and mailed to all parties in said action.		
May 31	Bal due - \$152.75		
June 14	Pd. 52 -		
	100.75		
July 16	Writ of attachment issued against all sums of money due defendant between and including July 8, 1946 and July 20, 1946 and July 22, 1946 and July Aug. 3, 1946.		
Aug 9	Additional cost bill filed in the amount of \$14.50, making balance due on judgment \$115.25.		
Aug 9	Judgment paid in full. Checks amount \$181.12 - (Balance of \$65.87 paid by plaintiff to defendant, for which defendant gave receipt Aug. 9, 1946).		

Marcia M. Putney

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
FIFTH TOWNSHIP
MADERA COUNTY
NORTH FORK, CALIF.

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Earl Malloee

Plaintiff

vs.

Rondo J. Bauer

Defendant

Action

eviction or
triple damages on unpaid rental

Demand, \$

\$195.00
plus premium of premium on
\$585.00 and costs

Thompson & Thompson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>47</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Mar- 8	Complaint filed and Summons issued, ^{copy of} together with <u>eviction notice</u>	4 00	
Mar 10	Return on summons received by mail from <u>E H Mason, constable</u>		
Mar 15	Defendant having failed to appear, it is hereby adjudged that plaintiff be awarded judgment by default in the amount of <u>\$195.00</u> , plus court costs. Writ of restitution issued for possession of premises.		
Mar 18	Notice of rendition of judgment mailed to parties to above action.		
	<u>Marcia M. Putney</u> JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FIFTH TOWNSHIP MADERA COUNTY NORTHFORK, CALIF.		
Mar 23	Return received on Writ of Restitution. Defendant voluntarily vacated premises and relinquished keys to office serving papers.		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Leslie B. Smith

Plaintiff

vs.

Bonds J. Bauer

Defendant

Action Violation of agreement of sale

Demand, \$ 200.00 + cost.

Crosland & Crosland

Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert M. Barnard

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1947	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Mar 19	Complaint filed and Summons issued and writ of attachment issued. Cash bond of \$500 posted by plaintiff.	4 --	
Mar 19	Return made on summons and on writ of attachment.		
Apr. 2	Demurrer by defendant filed, and demand for bill of Particulars.		
Apr. 17	Hearing on demurrer calendared for the second day of May, 1947, at 2:00 P. M.		
May 5	Upon failure of parties to action to appear, demurrer is hereby overruled, and time to answer granted to 2:00 P. M., the 31st day of May, 1947.		
June 13	Time for answer having elapsed, plaintiff petitions for judgment by default; wherefore it is adjudged, and it is hereby decreed that plaintiff be awarded judgment in the amount of \$300.00, plus court costs of \$4.00, making a total of \$304.00, and any costs accruing after judgment on the execution of same shall become a part of the judgment.		
	Marcia M. Putney		
	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FIFTH TOWNSHIP MADERA COUNTY NORTH FOLK, CALIF.		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

United States Credit Bureau,
Inc., a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Doug DeMasters, et al

Defendant

Action Account stated

Demand, \$ 226.51 principal, plus int.
at 7% per annum, and cost.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Continued on Page 27

DATE 19 <u>47</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 7	Complaint filed and Summons issued, together with writ of Attachment, Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment.	4 -	
Sept 13	Original writ of Attachment returned unserved. New writ to be served in Fresno County issued.		
Oct 7	Notice received from Sheriff of Fresno County that third party claim was filed on the 19th day of September, 1947 by Frank E. Rosenberg, Jr., and plaintiff having failed to comply with Sec. 689 C.C.P., his office was forced to release car covered by writ of Attachment.		
Nov 15	Return on Summons filed. Affidavits of non-military service filed. Cost bill filed as follows:- <u>Principal</u> <u>226.51</u> <u>Int.</u> Filing — 4.00 Sheriff's fees — 6.75 Notary fees — 2.00 Total — \$ 12.75		
Nov 15	Petition for judgment by default, and abstract of judgment filed. Fee paid. Defendant having failed to answer within the time allotted, ^{by default} it was ordered that judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff as follows:- <u>Principal</u> <u>226.51</u> <u>Interest</u> <u>11.56</u> <u>Costs</u> <u>12.75</u> Total — 253.82	1 -	
Nov 17	Notice of Breach of Judgment mailed.		
Nov 17	Abstract of judgment issued.		
Dec 17	Recorded abstract received for filing.		

1949 Plaintiff having petitioned the court for writ of Attachment said execution is voided in the entitled June 10 - action directed to Fresno County, in the amount of \$253.82, for payment as ordered herein.

June 25 - Continued on Page 27

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Carl L. Miller

Action

Damages, automobile collision

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ \$115.86

E. J. Cozart, First Doe, Second
Doe, Third Doe and A. & S. Com-
pany, a Corporation

Defendant

J. C. Harman & J. E. Sandford
Attorney for Plaintiff

Stammes & McKnight
James K. Barnum
Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>47</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
<u>Dec. 17</u>	Complaint filed and Summons issued	<u>4.00</u>	
<u>Apr. 1</u> <u>1948</u>	Petition for dismissal without prejudice and with- out costs received from counsel p. defense. Fee enclosed for certified copy of same. Check made in error to former Justice of the Peace. Check re- turned for reissue, together with letter advising counsel to reissue check, and upon receipt of same certified copy will be made by the court.		
<u>Apr. 6</u>	Stipulation for dismissal with prejudice and without costs filed this 6 th day of April, 1948. Fee paid by Defendant		<u>= 55</u>
	<u>Morris M. Britney</u> JUSTICE OF THE PEACE		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Frank E. Jones & Leonard
C. Williams, aka J-T-M
Plaintiff

vs.

Virgil Owens
Defendant

Action

Open Account

Demand, \$

103.00 and costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>48</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 11	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Free paid by Plaintiff. Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed. Cash bond in the amount of \$50.00 posted by Plaintiff. Writ of Attachment issued on pay check, unrecorded P.O. Box Co. to defendant, to be tendered on the 15 th day of June, 1948.	4.00	
June 15	Return made on Summons and Writ of Attachment. Pay check in the amount of \$64.11 attached, said check endorsed by defendant. Date of defendant's appearance set for on or before the 25 th day of June, 1948.		
June 15	Court bill filed by Constable E. H. Mason in the amount of \$5.75.		
June 15	Defendant in court, acknowledges obligation. Wherefore judgment is rendered for Plaintiff as follows: Principal - \$103.00 Court Costs - 4.00 Service fees - 5.75 making a total of \$112.75, for which credit is given in the amount of \$64.11, check received this day, leaving a balance due of \$48.64. Defendant promises to pay balance of \$48.64 on the 29 th day of June, 1948.		
June 28	Defendant in court and turns in pay roll check of \$33.85 in payment, leaving balance due of \$14.79.		
July 13	Defendant pays balance of \$14.79. Judgment satisfied in full.		
	Marcia M. Putney		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Frank E. Jones & Leonard C.
Williams, d/ba. J. W.

Plaintiff

vs.

Malcolm B. Taylor

Defendant

Action

Open Account

Demand, \$

158.00 and costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1948	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 11	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Fee paid. Defendant and Undertaking for attachment filed. Cash bond in the amount of \$50.00 posted by Plaintiff. Writ of Attachment issued on pay check of Associated Lumber & Box Co. to Defendant, to be tendered on the 15th day of June, 1948.	4.00	
June 15	Return made on Summons and Writ of Attachment. Check in the amount of \$34.55 attached, said check endorsed by defendant. Date of defendant's appearance: on or before the 25th day of June, 1948.		
June 15	Court bill filed by Constable E. H. Mason in the amount of \$5.75.		
June 21	Defendant in court, acknowledges obligation. Wherefore judgment is rendered for plaintiff as follows: Principal, \$158.00, court costs \$4.00, constable fees \$5.75, making a total of \$167.75. Defendant agrees to having \$25.00 withheld from attached check, leaving balance due of \$142.75, which balance defendant agrees to take care of in the following manner: Beginning on the 13th day of July, 1948, the sum of \$12.50, and the sum of \$12.50 on each succeeding two-week payday, until judgment shall be satisfied in full.		
July 15	Defendant pays \$12.50 on judgment, leaving balance due of \$130.25.		
Jul. 31	Defendant pays \$12.50, leaving balance due of \$117.75.		
Aug. 10	Defendant pays \$12.50, leaving balance due of \$105.25.		
Aug. 27	Defendant pays \$12.50, leaving balance due of \$92.75.		
Sept. 11	" " " " " " " " 80.25		
Oct. 13	" " " " " " " " 67.75		
1949	" " " " " " " " 55.25		
Mar 26	Defendant in court, states he will pay balance of judgment as soon as working regularly.		

1948 - Letter from Madera Credit Bureau asking for information, as well as balance due.
Nov. 20 - Letter from Madera Credit Bureau asking for information, as well as balance due.
Nov. 22 - Letter to Madera Credit Bureau giving them information, as well as balance due.

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

W. H. Sturgeon Co., Inc.,
a corporation
vs.

Plaintiff

H. B. Harris, John Doe
and Jane Doe

Defendant S

Action

Open Account

Demand, \$

86.56 interest at 4%
and costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>48</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 14	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Freight</u> Demand \$86.56 principal, Interest \$8.50, Costs \$6.00, plus fees of service of papers. Undertaking for attachment filed and Writ of Attachment issued.	4.00	
Aug 21	Return on summons on summons a Writ of Attachment made by Constable E. H. Mason. Pay check in the amount of \$15.00 attached.		
Aug 23	Defendant in court, acknowledges obligation. A judgment is rendered in favor of plaintiff in the amount of \$86.56 princi- pal, \$8.50 interest, \$4.00 court costs, \$2.00 return fees, making a total of \$101.06, to which is added fees for service of papers as follows: Frisage, \$6.25 Summons .50 Writ of Attachment 1.00 Total \$7.75, making a total judgment of \$108.81. Credit for payment of \$15.00, leaving a balance due of \$93.81, which balance due of \$93.81 which defendant promises to pay off at the rate of \$5.00 per week until judgment be satisfied in full.		
Aug 31	Defendant in court, states he will be in on the 4 th day of September, 1948, to make payment.		
Aug 31	Check in the amount of \$20.00 received from plaintiff, to be paid to Constable E. H. Mason for service in this and in action vs. J. L. Hays, et al		
Sept 4	Check in amount of \$20.00 for service of papers turned over to Constable E. H. Mason		
Sept 8	Defendant pays \$5.00 - Paid due \$8.81		

In the Justice's Court of
County of **MADERA**

FIFTH

Township,

, State of California

W. H. Sturgeon Co., Inc.
a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Chicago, John H. H. H.
and Sam H. H.

Defendant

Action Open AccountDemand, \$ 79.28, Interest and Costs,

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>48</u>			
Aug 14	Complaint filed and Summons issued and fee paid Demand, principal \$79.28, Interest \$5.60, Costs \$6.00, plus fees of service of papers. Affidavit for undertaking of Attachment filed and Writ of Attachment issued.	4.50	
Aug 19	Defendant in court acknowledges obligation with the exception of \$5.00 in which defendant exhibits receipt of payment made on the 31 st day of July, 1948. Wherefore judgment is rendered in favor of plaintiff in the amount of \$79.28, Interest in the amount of \$5.42, costs \$6.00, on a total of \$90.70 \$85.70. Defendant pleads need of portion of pay and agrees to pay as follows: \$40.00 on this 19 th day of August, 1948, said \$40.00 paid forthwith. Balance of judgment on the 26 th day of August, 1948.		
Aug 20	Notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to plaintiff, together with money order in the amount of \$40.00.		
Aug 21	Return made on summons showing partial satisfaction of judgment by Constable E. H. Mason. Bill for service of papers by E. H. Mason filed as follows:- Mile. Travelled - 41. @ 25¢ - \$10.25 Service two summons @ 50¢ - 1.00 Service Writ of Attachment - 1.00 Total \$12.25 Which amount bears part of judgment herein recorded.		
Aug- 25	Defendant paid \$48.98, leaving balance due of \$8.97.		
Sept 1	Defendant's wife pay \$8.97, satisfying judgment in full. Marela M. Putney		

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
FIFTH TOWNSHIP
MADERA COUNTY
NORTHFORK, CALIF.

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

William M. Statham, Frank Heap,
& Ray Heap, aka Billy Brown

Pluck Outfit, a co-partner Plaintiff
vs.

William Henry Douglas

Defendant

Action Damage

Demand, \$ 200⁵⁶ and costs, and
prayer for relief - Breckenridge Thomas
Attorney for Plaintiff

Douglas A. May
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>48</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 27	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Free paid</u>	4.00	
Sept 11	Plaintiff pray for relief as follows: Cost of repairs to a certain truck, \$185.56; loss of use of truck, \$15.00, together with costs of court action, and such other reliefs as court may seem equitable.		
Sept 20	Defendant in court, pleads time to file answer. Continuance granted to the 23 rd day of September, 1948. Letter to counsel for Plaintiff advising of this fact.		
Sept 22	General and specific denial of all allegations in complaint filed by counsel for defense. Plaintiff's demurrer, if any, to be filed on or before the 30 th day of September, 1948.		
Sept 22	Time of trial set for 10:00 A.M. on the 9 th day of October, 1948. Subpoenas issued to:		
Sept 25	Notice of Trial and Affidavits of Service by Mail filed to: William Henry Douglas, O'Neale, Calif, defendant, and Douglas A. May, Fresno, Calif, attorney for defendant.		
Oct 1	Attorney for plaintiff petition for continuance to 10:00 A.M. the 16 th day of October, 1948. Continuance granted.		
Oct 11	Order of defendant, and by agreement with attorney for plaintiff, action continued to 10:00 A.M. October 30, 1948.		
Oct 30	Case called for trial with attorney for Plaintiff, Breckenridge Thomas, representing Plaintiff, and Defendant, William Henry Douglas, representing himself. Witnesses called for Plaintiff: - William M. Statham, and his wife, Mrs. Millie Ethel Statham. Witnesses testify as to manner of accident, that defendant passed them on a left hand curve, that defendant misjudged his distance and cut back too soon, causing them to lose control of their vehicle and run into bank, damaging pump, sector shaft, and blowing out two tires. Defendant testified on his own behalf, denying all allegations, and states that damage to his vehicle, that he heard a "clunk", but that there was not sufficient testimony to establish that damage is claimed by Plaintiff. Defendant states that Statham, together with William Henry Douglas, Plaintiff's attorney, introduced testimony that witnesses would not give any more testimony. There being no evidence introduced to conclusively prove that defendant was not negligent, judgment is rendered in favor of Plaintiff as follows: \$200.56 principal and \$4.00 costs. - Notice of judgment rendered to attorney for Plaintiff this 30 th day of October, 1948.		

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
FIFTH TOWNSHIP
MADERA COUNTY
NORTH-FORK CALIF

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Wm. M. Statham Frank Leap
& Ray Leap, aka Billy Brown
Back Sulfur vs. William Henry Douglas

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1949			
Jan 10	<u>Complaint filed and Summons issued</u> <u>Petition from Breckenridge Thomas, attorney</u> <u>for plaintiffs for Issuance of Execution,</u> <u>together with five copies thereof. Fee paid</u> <u>in the amount of \$1.00. Counsel for plaintiff</u> <u>reports \$10.00 paid by defendant on</u> <u>judgment, leaving a balance thereon</u> <u>of \$194.56.</u>	1.00	
Jan 10	<u>Execution issued in the amount of \$194.56</u> <u>issued, together with five copies thereon.</u>		
Apr 1	<u>Letter from counsel for Plaintiff, advising the</u> <u>court that balance due on judgment rendered</u> <u>remains unsatisfied, and petitioning for certified</u> <u>copy of judgment, together with Certificate of Facts</u> <u>be forwarded to Dept. of Motor Vehicles.</u>		
Apr 1	<u>Certified Copy of Judgment, together with Certificate</u> <u>of Facts prepared and mailed to Department of</u> <u>Motor Vehicles, and notice of same mailed to</u> <u>counsel for Plaintiff.</u>		
1950			
Apr 29	<u>Accrued costs memorandum filed in the amount of</u> <u>Accrued interest \$20.40, with Notary fee of 50¢.</u>		
Apr 29	<u>Execution issued in the amount of \$194.56, plus accrued</u> <u>costs in the amount of \$20.90, a total of \$215.46.</u>		
Apr 29	<u>First Writ of Execution returned to court unserved.</u>		

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
FIFTH TOWNSHIP
MADERA COUNTY
NORTHERN CALIF.

Marcia M. Putney

In the Justice's Court of _____
County of _____, State of California

Township,

U.S. Credit Bureau, Inc.
a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Doug De Masters, et al

Defendant

Action _____

Demand, \$ _____

Attorney for Plaintiff _____

Attorney for Defendant _____

DATE 19 <u>49</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
July 16	Writ of Execution issued in the amount of \$226.51, per		
June 25	Writ of Execution returned from Office of Sheriff of Fresno County, unsatisfied.		
	Costs filed in the amount of \$1.50 (Entered in error - bill not filed)		
July 16	Writ of Execution, #2, issued on behalf of Plaintiff in the amount of \$226.51 principal, \$14.56 interest, \$12.75 costs, making a total of \$253.82.		
Nov- 16	Constable Fred J. Crabbe of 18 th Township, Fresno County, reports the following payments collected by execution:		
	August 4, 1949 — \$23.21		
	October 25, 1949 — 23.23		
	Leaving balance due on judgment of \$207.38		
1950			
Aug 5	Return of Execution showing partial satisfaction of judgment in the sums of \$23.21 and \$23.23, filed by Plaintiff.		
Aug 5	Plaintiff pleads for new Writ of Execution showing balance due on judgment in the sum of \$207.38; said Writ to be delivered by U.S. mail to the Sheriff of Madera County		
Aug 5	Writ of Execution issued for balance of \$207.38 on said judgment, said Writ mailed to Sheriff of Madera County for service.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

[illegible]

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Personal Collection Service

Action *Open Acct. and
Acct. Stated*

vs.

Plaintiff

Primo Demand, \$ *168.70*, Interest *45.00*, Costs *5.00*,

*Lester D. Mather, Mrs. Lester
D. Mather, John Doe, Jane Doe
& Richard Roe*

Defendant

Harry Sarpiscian

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1949	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 30	Complaint filed and Summons issued	<i>4.00</i>	
Aug 8	<i>Defendants, Lester D. Mather and Mrs. Lester D. Mather, in answer to summons and generally and specifically denies every allegation in Sections A and B of para- graph III of the first cause of action, and of paragraph II of the second cause of action.</i>		
Aug 8	<i>Time for trial in the action alleged is set for the hour of 2:00 P.M. on the 18th day of August, 1949; trial to be held by the court, defendant having waived trial by jury. Notice of time of trial mailed to plaintiff, and to defendants, Lester D. Mather and Mrs. Lester D. Mather.</i>		
Aug 18	<i>Case regularly called for trial at 2:15 P.M. this 18th day of August, 1949. Defendants, Lester D. Mather, and Mrs. Lester D. Mather, present in court. Plaintiff and/or attorney for Plaintiff failed to appear. Wherefore Defendant Lester D. Mather, on behalf of himself and other defendants, prays for judg- ment, an answer to complaint having been filed, on the 8th day of August, to wit: a general denial, alleging that statute of limitations has run on each allegation. Wherefore it is by the court ordered that judgment be entered in favor of Defendants, and against Plaintiff; Plaintiff and his attorney having been duly served Notice of Time of Trial by depositing said Notice in the United States Mail on the 8th day of August, 1949. Time of alleged allegations of Complaint having run, on the judgment is herewith entered in favor of Defendants, and against Plaintiff.</i>		
	<i>August 20 - Notice of Judgment and Entry of Judgment mailed to all parties to above titled action.</i>		
	<i>Marcin M. Entry</i>		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

W. J. Niet

Action *Property Damage*

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ *278.18 and costs*

vs.
Sam Lawson Norris, Jr., Donald Wolfe,
Joe Trumpane, Black and White Company,
a corporation, Blue Doe and Red Doe, do
partners, doing business under the joint
name and style of Blue and Red Company,
Blue and Red Company, a corporation,
1st Doe, 2nd Doe, and 3rd Doe

Leslie N. Jonsen

Attorney for Plaintiff

James W. Shipe

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1949	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Oct. 3	Complaint filed and Summons issued <i>for appearance within 30 days</i> <i>of time of service.</i>	4.00	
Nov. 14	Defendant, <i>Donald Wolfe</i> , in court. Petitions for continuation and for time to consult counsel. Continuation granted and time to answer is set for not later than the 25 th day of November, 1949.		
Nov. 25	Remurrer filed by defendant.		
Dec 3	Remurrer overruled and time to answer set for 10:00 A.M. the 18 th day of December, 1949.		
Dec 19	Answer in form of general + specific denial filed by Defendants.		
Dec 31	Notice of Time of Trial, at 10 o'clock A.M. on the 17 th day of January, 1950, mailed to <i>Leslie Jonsen</i> , Attorney for Plaintiff, and to <i>James W. Shipe</i> , Attorney for Defendants.		
Jan 12	Written stipulation to continuance to February 16, 1950, this day received from Counsel for Defendants.		
Feb 16	Dismissal with prejudice and without costs filed by Attorney for Plaintiff, together with letter of stipulation from Attorney for Defendants. Wherefore dismissal is herewith ordered this 16 th day of February, 1950.		

Marcus M. Pictury

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
FIFTH TOWNSHIP
MADERA COUNTY
NORTHERN CALIF.

Left page blank for
Wilt vs Norris, et ux

and absently filled it in,
thus placing this action in
advance of the succeeding one
filed previously.

and Richard Roe Defendant

H Township,
, State of California

Open Act - & Acts Stated

\$ 73.95 Int. @ 7% + costs.
\$3.96 \$6.00

Nathan Guthertz
Attorney for Plaintiff

Amelia H. Helle
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 49	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Nov. 9	Complaint filed and Summons issued Affidavit for Undertaking of Attachment filed Writ of Attachment issued.	4.00	
1952			
June 10	Original Writ of Attachment returned, unserved. Affidavit and undertaking for new Writ of Attachment filed. New Writ of Attachment issued on motion of Plaintiff, directed to Sheriff or Constable of County of Fresno for service.		
June 20	Demurrer filed by Defendant.		
✓ 24	Demurrer overruled. Time to answer set within 20 days.		
July 1	Return on Writ of Attachment filed showing service 6/14/52 on Orl. Transfer Co., Inc., and V. O. Cannon, vice-pres. Also showing affidavit claiming exemption filed 6/19/52, with release of one-half garnishment.		
July 1	Return on Writ of Attachment filed showing service 6/24/52 on Orl. Transfer Co., Inc., and V. O. Cannon, vice-pres.		

In the Justice's Court of _____
County of _____, Sta

W. J. Niet

Action *Pr*

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ *27*

vs.
*Tom Larson Norris, Jr., Donald Wolfe,
Joe Trumppner, Black and White Company,
a corporation, Blue Doe and Red Doe, do
Partners, doing business under the firm
name and style of Blue and Red Company,
Blue and Red Company, a corporation,
1st Doe, 2nd Doe, and 3rd Doe*

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1949	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Oct. 3	Complaint filed and Summons issued <i>in appearance within 30 days of time of service.</i>	4 00	
Nov. 14	Defendant, Donald Wolfe, in court. Petitions for continuation and for time to consult counsel Continuation granted and time to answer is set for not later than the 25 th day of November, 1949		
Nov. 25	Remurrer filed by defendant.		
Dec 3	Remurrer overruled and time to answer set for 10:00 A.M. the 18 th day of December, 1949.		
Dec 19	Answer in form of general + specific denial filed by Defendants.		
Dec 31	Notice of Time of Trial, at 10 o'clock A.M. on the 17 th day of January, 1950, mailed to Lester Gomer, Attorney for Plaintiff, and to James W. Skipe, Attorney for Defendants.		
Jan 12	Written stipulation to continuance to February 16, 1950, this day received from Counsel for Defendants.		
Feb 16	Dismissal with prejudice and without costs filed by Attorney for Plaintiff, together with letter of stipulation from Attorney for Defendants. Wherefore dismissal is herewith ordered this 16 th day of February, 1950		

Marcia M. Putney

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
FIFTH TOWNSHIP
MADERA COUNTY
NORTHEAST CALIF

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Premco Collection Service

Action Open Acct - & Accts Stated

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 73⁹⁵, Int. @ 7% + costs.
\$3.96 \$6.00

Robert O. Borista, aka
B.O. Borista, John Doe, Jane Doe,
and Richard Roe Defendant

Nathan Gutheitz Attorney for Plaintiff
Ameller & Swelle Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>49</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
<u>Nov- 9</u>	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Affidavit for Undertaking of Attachment filed</u> <u>Writ of Attachment issued.</u>	<u>4 00</u>	
<u>1952</u>			
<u>June 10</u>	Original Writ of Attachment returned, unserved. Affidavit and undertaking for new Writ of Attachment filed. New Writ of Attachment issued on motion of Plaintiff, directed to Sheriff or Constable of County of Madera for service.		
<u>June 20</u>	Demurrer filed by Defendant.		
<u>✓ 21</u>	Demurrer overruled. Time to answer - within 20 days		
<u>July 1</u>	Return on Writ of Attachment filed showing service 6/14/52 on Oak Transfer Co. Inc. and V.O. Cannon, vice-pres. Also showing affidavit claiming exemption filed 6/19/52, with release of one-half garnishment.		
<u>July 1</u>	Return on Writ of Attachment filed showing service 6/24/52 on Oak Transfer Co. Inc. and V.O. Cannon, vice-pres.		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

W. H. Sturgeon Co., Inc.

Action Open Acct. + Acct. Stated

vs. Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 44⁰⁰, Int. at 7%, costs

Mildred Allen, John Doe

Burston + Burston

Attorney for Plaintiff

and Jane Doe

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>49</u>			
Oct 29	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed. Writ of Attachment issued.	4.00	
Dec 9	Summons returned with affidavit of service on the 1 st day of November, 1949, by Constable E. H. Mason.		
Dec 9	Affidavit re military service filed; that defendant was not on the 5 th day of December, 1949, nor at the time of service of summons and complaint, in military service of any nature.		
Dec 9	Memorandum of Costs filed as follows: - Filing fee - 29.00 Notary fee on verification of Complaint - .50 Notary fee on demand and undertaking - 1.50 Constable fee - 1.50 Total - 7.50		
Dec 9	Request to enter default judgment filed.		
Dec 9	Defendant having failed to answer within the time prescribed by law, now therefore be it ordered that judgment be entered for Plaintiff, and it is hereby entered, in the amount of \$44 ⁰⁰ , principal, less credit of \$5 ⁰⁰ paid by defendant after commencement of action, on affidavit of Attorney for Plaintiff, plus interest in the amount of \$2 ⁰⁰ and costs in the amount of \$7.50, a total of \$48.57.		
Dec 9	Notice of Rendition, ^{and entry} judgment mailed to both parties to action.		
Dec 9	Writ of Execution issued on request of Plaintiff.		
1950			
Jan 17	Abstract of judgment issued, on petition of Plaintiff.		

Marcia M. Putney

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
FIFTH TOWNSHIP
MADERA COUNTY
NORTH CO. CALIF.

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Mark M^c Allister

Plaintiff

vs.

William Hoague, aka

Bill Hoague

Defendant

Action Open Acct & Acct stated

Demand, \$ 148⁴³

Martin J. Shulson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>50</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
<u>Jan 31</u>	Complaint filed and Summons issued	<u>4.00</u>	
<u>Feb 7</u>	Summons returned with affidavit of service the 2 nd day of February, 1950.		
<u>Feb 7</u>	Lat bill filed by Constable E. H. Mason in the amount of \$2.00.		
<u>Feb - 15</u>	Defendant in court acknowledges obligation. Judgment rendered in favor of Plain- tiff in the amount of \$148 ⁴³ principal and costs of \$6.00, making a total of \$154.43. Defendant states he will pay judgment in full not later than the 1 st day of March, 1950.		
	<u>Martin M. Cutney</u> JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FIFTH TOWNSHIP MADERA COUNTY NORTH FORK, CALIF		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

W. H. Sturgeon Co., Inc.

Plaintiff

vs.

Wesland R. Faith, John Doe
and Jane Doe

Defendant

Action Open Book Accounts, Hoots
stated

Demand, \$ 255⁰⁰ princ., int. 770

James H. Barstow

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>50</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
<u>Mar 4</u>	Complaint filed and Summons issued	<u>4.00</u>	
<u>Apr 27</u>	Return on Summons filed; with affidavit of service on the 12 th day of March, 1950.		
<u>Apr 27</u>	Petition from Plaintiff for Judgment by default. Affidavit of non-military service filed by Plaintiff.		
	Cost bill filed in the amount of \$10 ⁵⁰ , itemized as follows: Filing fee \$4 ⁰⁰ ; Notary fee on Complaint \$1.50; Constable's fees for service \$6 ⁰⁰ .		
	Request filed for Default in the amount of \$255.00 principal, with credit for payment of \$75 ⁰⁰ ; Interest \$53.50, and Costs \$10.50, a total of \$244 ⁰⁰ .		
<u>Apr 27</u>	Defendant having failed to appear within 10 days of the service of Summons, now, therefore Judgment by Default is entered on Behalf of Plaintiff, and against Defendant, in the amount of \$180 ⁰⁰ principal, \$53 ⁵⁰ interest and \$10 ⁵⁰ costs, a total of \$244 ⁰⁰ .		
<u>Apr 27</u>	Writ of Notice of Breach and Entry of Judgment mailed to both parties to action.		
<u>Apr 27</u>	Petition for Writ of Execution and Abstract of Judgment placed before Court. Fee of \$1 ⁰⁰ for Abstract of Judgment paid by Plaintiff.	<u>1.00</u>	
<u>Apr 28</u>	Writ of Execution in the amount of \$244 ⁰⁰ issued.		
	Abstract of Judgment issued on petition of Plaintiff.		
	<u>Martin Montney</u>		
	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FIFTH TOWNSHIP MADERA COUNTY NORTH FORT CALIF.		
<u>Apr. 29</u>	Defendant appears at home of Justice of Peace and protests breach of Judgment - He states arrangements were made with Plaintiff for monthly payments of obligation. He is informed he failed to appear in answer to summons; that he should have done so and informed the		

In the Justice's Court of
County of MADERA

FIFTH

Township,

State of California

Earl E. Scott

Plaintiff

vs.
J. W. Donnell, Black & White Co., a corp.
Blue Doe and Red Doe, co-partners, dba
Blue and Red Company, Blue and Red
Co., a co-partnership, 1st Doe, 2nd Doe, 3rd Doe
Defendant

Action Damages & Loss of TimeDemand, \$ 150.74

Lester D. Jones & Robt E. Burners, Jr.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Mason A. Bailey
Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>50</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
May 24	Complaint filed and Summons issued	4 00	
June 8	Defendant, J. W. Donnell, pleads for continuation of five days to file answer. Continuation granted.		
June 9	Demurrers filed by Attorney for Defendants.		
June 9	Answer filed Generally and specifically denying paragraphs III, IV, V and VI of complaint.		
June 13	Demurrers sustained. Time to amend ^{plead} granted to the <u>23rd</u> day of June, 1950, at 10:00 clock ^{o'clock} A. M.		
June 13	Notice to counsel for both parties.		
June 15	Letter from counsel for Plaintiff asking particulars of ruling.		
June 15	Letter from counsel for Defendant asking particulars of ruling.		
June 15	Letters to counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant advising that notice should have stated "Time to amend"; that it is now so stated, and time for amending set to the 30 th day of June, 1950.		
June 20	First amended complaint filed, together with Affidavit of Service by mail on counsel for defendants on the 18 th day of June, 1950.		
June 23	Answer to First Amended Complaint filed, in the form of General Denial.		
June 24	Notice of Time of Trial placed in the U. S. mails at Northridge, Calif. on this 24 th day of June, 1950, addressed to Robt. E. Burners, Jr., Attorney for Plaintiff, and to Mason A. Bailey, Attorney for Defendant, notifying each that action is set for trial at 2:00 P. M. on the 10 th day of July, 1950.		
June 29	Request from counsel for Plaintiff for issuance of subpoena, but counsel failed to designate parties. Blank subpoena forms mailed to counsel for completion.		
July 1	Subpoena issued on Earl E. Scott on behalf of Counsel for Plaintiff.		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Carl E. Scott

Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Donnell, et al

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Continued from page 36

DATE 19 50	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 10	Counsel for Plaintiff and Defendant stipulated to the sum of \$100.00 as the reasonable cost of repairs of Plaintiff's vehicle. Complaint filed and Summons issued		
July 10	Case regularly called for trial. Defendant, J. W. Donnell called to witness stand by Counsel for Plaintiff, under provisions of Section 2055, C.P.C.; under cross-examination witness states he was not the operator of a certain 1941 Ford pickup, that his son, William Donnell was the operator. On motion of Counsel for Plaintiff, and with the consent of Counsel for Defendant, Paragraph 5th of the first amended complaint is ordered amended to designate the first Doe, whose true name is William Donnell, as the defendant driver of said Ford pickups. Also called by Counsel for Plaintiff under provisions of Section 2055, C.P.C., for cross-examination, was Defendant driver, William Donnell. Witness for Prosecution: Carl E. Scott, complainant. Witness for Defense: - A. E. Hanks, a passenger in said Ford pickup operated by William Donnell. Review of testimony of witnesses establishes that roadway was of the approximate width of 20 to 22 feet, a width sufficient for the free passing of vehicles. It appearing from the testimony that Plaintiff's vehicle, at the time of impact, was on his side of imaginary center line roadway, and that in no event was it the right side of it more than two feet from the fence on his side. Under cross-examination, Defendant, William Donnell, stated he had to move his vehicle after the impact, before Plaintiff's vehicle could be moved; that he, William Donnell, was obliged to back up before he could proceed forward. Defendant, William Donnell, stated he "may have been over imaginary center line, that his vehicle skidded; the skidding of Defendant driver's vehicle is corroborated by Defense witness, A. E. Hanks. Defendant driver also stated his vehicle travelled 10 feet after impact before coming to a stop, that he then stopped		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Carl E. Scott

Action _____

Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Donnell


Demand, \$ _____

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 50	<p>Continued from page 37</p> <p>Complaint filed and Summons issued</p> <p>with his right front wheel directly on road edge drop-off, his right rear wheel two feet from edge of roadway. He reiterated that he was obliged to back up before he could proceed forward. Testimony discloses Plaintiff was coming down hill, whereas Defendant was travelling up hill. Testimony places speed of both vehicles at not in excess of 25 m.p.h., or, more nearly, at 20 m.p.h.</p> <p>July 17 It appearing to the court that Defendant driver was operating his vehicle in a negligent manner, that said Defendant driver was operating said vehicle with the consent and knowledge of the owner thereof, to wit: J. W. Donnell, now therefore, Judgment is awarded in favor of Plaintiff, Carl E. Scott, and against Defendants, J. W. Donnell and William Donnell, in the amount of \$ 100⁷⁴ principal and \$ 4⁰⁰ costs.</p> <p>July 17 Notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to Lester H. Jones, Attorney for Plaintiff, Carl E. Scott, Plaintiff, and to Mason A. Bailey, attorney for Defendants, and J. W. Donnell and William Donnell, Defendants.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FIFTH TOWNSHIP MARIETTA COUNTY NORTHEAST CALIF. </p>		
July 21	<p>Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements, together with Affidavit of Service by mail on Counsel for Defendants.</p> <p>Aug 14 Defendant, William Donnell, in court, states he will take care of judgment in full not later than Aug. 17, 1950</p> <p>Aug. 17 Defendant, William Donnell, deposits with the court the sum of \$ 118⁵⁹, covering Judgment of \$ 100⁷⁴ principal and \$ 17⁸⁵ costs. Letter to Attorney for Plaintiff asking him to prepare notice of satisfaction.</p>		<p>in the amount of \$ 13¹⁵</p>

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Action _____

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ _____

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

Continued from page 38

DATE 19 50	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
Aug 22	Letter from attorney for Plaintiff calling attention to error in awarding costs. Filing fee of \$4.00 was included in cost bill of \$13.75. Wherefore judgment is amended as follows: Principal \$100.74, costs \$13.75, a total of \$114.59.		
Aug 22	Notice of Satisfaction of Judgment in the amount of \$114.59 filed by attorney for Plaintiff.		
Aug 23	Defendant, William Donnell, notified by mail to appear in court in order that refund of \$4.00, the amount of error in judgment as entered.		
Aug 23	The sum of \$114.59, being the amount of judgment, made payable to Plaintiff, Earl E. Scott, by postal money orders issued at North Fork postoffice, being #107649 and #107650, mailed this 23 rd day of August 1950 to Lester N. Gousser, Attorney for Plaintiff, at Fresno, Calif.		
	Marcia M. Putney JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FIFTH TOWNSHIP MADERA COUNTY NORTH FORK, CALIF.		
Aug 24	Received in cash the sum of \$4.00, the same being a refund of the excess amount deposited to cover judgment in the within action. William E. Donnell.		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

John Weatherly and John
Weatherly, d/ba Weatherly Store
Plaintiff

vs.

James D. Taylor

Defendant

Action \$2452 Open Acc.

Demand, \$ 2452

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>50</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Oct 10	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fee paid.	4 00	
Oct 10	Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed.		
Oct 10	Deposit of \$20.00 each in lieu of Undertaking made by Plaintiffs.		
Oct 10	Writ of Attachment issued and given to Deputy Sheriff J. L. Kramer for service.		
Oct 20	Return on Writ of Attachment filed, showing the amount of \$36.26 as inventory of property attached.		
Oct 25	Letter from Defendant giving address 70 Pine Delat. Isl. Ct., Piedra Calif.		
Nov 22	Registered letter from Defendant giving address as San Del., Victorville, and asking disposition of case. Plaintiff notified of new address for service of papers.		
1951			
Mar 26	Upon the filing of Stipulation on file herein, to which is affixed the signatures of John Weatherly and Berne Weatherly, Plaintiffs, and James D. Taylor, Defendant, judgment is hereby ordered for Plaintiffs in the sum of \$2452, together with costs in the sum of \$5.37.		
Mar 26	Order to Sheriff of Madera County authorizing him to deduct the sum of \$9.50 from the sum now held in his hands under attachment for his costs incurred herein, and authorizing and directing said Sheriff to make two checks, one in the sum of \$29.89, to John Weatherly and Berne Weatherly, Plaintiffs, and a check in the sum of \$5.89 to James D. Taylor, Defendant; the two foregoing checks to be delivered to this court.		
Mar 26	Cash bond in the sum of \$20.00 posted herein in lieu of undertaking ordered exonerated.		
Mar 26	Receipt of Pl. Amer. Money order #234444, exoneration of Cash bond posted herein, acknowledged this 26 th day of March, 1951. Fern Weatherly.		

Apr. 5 - Received from Madera County Sheriff one check, payable to John Weatherly & Berne Weatherly, in the sum of \$29.89, and no check payable to James D. Taylor in the sum of \$5.89.
Apr. 7 - Check in the sum of \$5.89, payable to James D. Taylor, mailed to said Taylor at P.O. #, Box 546, Elmer, Calif.
Apr. 9 - Received check in the sum of \$29.89, same being principal of \$24.52 and costs of \$5.37.
Apr. 16 - Check signed by James D. Taylor for the sum of \$5.89, received by mail from said James D. Taylor.

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Harmon B. Toome

Action Damages

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 160.05

Alex Hachadounian, First

Blaine Pettitt

Attorney for Plaintiff

Joe & Second Joe

Defendant s

Chester D. Hansen, Superseded
by Joseph L. Joy Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>51</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Feb 13	Complaint filed and Summons issued. <u>Filing fee paid</u>	4 00	
Mar 23	General and specific denial, and statement of defense, unsworn, filed by attorney for Defendant, together with stipulation of counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendant that answers may be verified at any time prior to trial of within entitled action. Affidavit of service and receipt of answers by attorney for Plaintiff filed.		
	Time of trial set for the 10 th day of April, 1951 at the hour of 2 P.M. Notice of time of trial mailed to counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant.		
Apr 6	Letter from Plaintiff to attorney for Plaintiff stating action is being settled, and requesting action be taken from calendar.		
Apr 10	Taken from calendar.		
	<u>Marcia M. Rutney</u>		
	NOTED IN THE PLACE JUL 11 1951 MADERA COUNTY NORTHFORK, CALIF		
May 12	Petition for filing of Stipulation for Dismissal without costs of suit, and with prejudice to commencement of another action by Plaintiff on his complaint entered with court by attorney for Defendant.		= 25
May 12	It being agreed by and between Blaine Pettitt, Esq. attorney for Plaintiff, and Chester D. Hansen, Esq. and Joseph L. Joy, Esq., attorneys for Defendants herein that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed without costs of suit and with prejudice to commencement of another action by Plaintiff herein on his complaint, said Dismissal is so ordered this 12 th day of May, 1951		
May 12	Upon payment of fee prescribed by law, by attorney for Defendant, a certified copy of Dismissal herein entered is sent by mail to attorney for Defendant.		
	<u>Marcia M. Rutney</u>		
	NOTED IN THE PLACE JUL 11 1951 MADERA COUNTY NORTHFORK, CALIF		

In the Justice's Court of FIFTH Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Mark W. McAllister

Plaintiff

vs.

Paul Bahrenfus

Defendant

Action Open Account & Acct. statedDemand, \$ 124.90, interest at 7%, costsLo Roy J. Reinhardt, Crossland & Crossland
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>51</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 28	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Affidavit in attachment filed. Plaintiff posts cash bond in the sum of \$62.45, by Bank America check 90-1439, North Fork Grocery. Writ of attachment issued.	4.00	
Aug 30	Defendant present in court, states he does not intend to contest action, and prays that judgment be entered as set forth in complaint filed herein.		
Aug 30	Return made on Writ of Attachment, showing inventory of pay check attached in the sum of \$266.81.		
Aug 30	Receipt of Wherefore judgment is entered for Plaintiff in the sum of \$124.90, principal, \$4.83 interest, and \$10.50 costs; and balance of amount attached ordered released. Receipt of balance of check out of amount attached herein received (\$126.58), after judgment is satisfied — Paul & Bahrenfus		
Aug 30	Receipt of \$140.23, full satisfaction of judgment herein set forth, including interest and costs, acknowledged. Mark W. McAllister		
	<u>Marcia M. Putney</u> JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FIFTH TOWNSHIP MADERA COUNTY NORTH FORK, CALIF.		
Aug 30	Cash bond in the sum of \$62.45 exonerated, and ordered returned to Plaintiff. Receipt of cash bond in the sum of \$62.45 acknowledged this 31 st day of August 1951 Mark W. McAllister		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Calif. Collection Agency, Inc.,
dba
Commercial Service Collections
Plaintiff

vs.

Mark McAllister, aka M.W. McAllister,
Betty McAllister, John Doe & Jane Doe
Defendants

Action Open book account

Demand, \$ 48.50, int. @ 7% from Oct-1949 & costs.

Nathan Guthertz

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 <u>52</u>			
May 2	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing fee paid.</u> <u>and undertakings</u> <u>affidavit</u> <u>for attachment</u> filed. <u>Writ of attachment issued.</u> <u>Summons & writ returned to Plaintiff per letter 4/30/52</u>	5 00	
May 24	Motion for Dismissal with Prejudice filed by Plaintiff; said motion signed by attorney for Plaintiff.		
May 24	Now therefore, it is ordered that within action be, and it is herewith, dismissed with prejudice to the commencement <u>of</u> <u>another</u> action by Plaintiff on his complaint.		
	<u>Marion M. Butney</u> Judge of Sierra Judicial District		
June 11	Return on Writ of Attachment filed showing service on May 8 th , 1952 on Anglo Calif. Nat'l Bank; also showing release of \$48.50 on May 27, 1952		
July 19	Return on summons filed showing service July 5, 1952, together with cost bill in the sum of \$1.00 service plus 25¢ mileage.		
July 22	Defendant defaulted in filing answer.		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

C. Ray Hanson

Plaintiff

vs.

W. R. Burnett, Joe One and
Joe Two

Defendants

Action Complaint for DamagesDemand, \$ 165.37James R. Hays

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>52</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 21	Complaint filed and Summons issued	4 00	
July 14	Defendant appears in court, signifies he desires to enter General denial. Defendant advised to contact counsel. Continuation granted for filing of answer to the 24 th day of July, 1952.		
July 25	Defendant defaulted in filing answer.		
Sept 5	Motion by Attorney for Plaintiff for dismissal without prejudice and without cost to either party, filed.		
Sept 5	Wherefore it is ordered that the within action be, and it is herewith dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new action and without cost to either party.		
	<u>Marcus M. Putney</u> Judge of Sierra Judicial District		

In the Justice's Court of San Gabriel District Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

E G C, Inc., a corporation d/ba
Fresno Collection Service
Plaintiff
vs.

Lloyd Lee Marshall, John Joe
and Jane Joe
Defendant S

Action Open Acct. & Acct. stated

Demand, \$ 83.55

Nathan Guthrie

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1952			
Aug 11	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Affidavit and undertaking on writ of Attachment filed. Writ of Attachment issued. Filing fee paid	4 00	
Oct 23	Writ of Attachment returned showing service Aug. 18, 1952, by Sheriff of Los Angeles County, on Raymond Venturin Blind Co., 123 North Victory, Berkeley, Calif., with endorsement of no property of Defendant in custody of said Raymond Venturin Blind Co.		
1953			
Feb 2	affidavit and undertaking on writ of Attachment filed.		
Dec 2	Writ of Attachment issued.		
1956			
Aug 13	Inquiry as to return on summons from Plaintiff.		
Aug 14	Plaintiff advised no return on summons filed to date.		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of UTAH, State of California

Leo M. Wasson and
Elsie P. Wasson, h & w
Plaintiff s
vs.
Thomas Wasson and Edna
Wasson, h & w
Defendant s

Action Act. stated
Demand, \$ 150.00
Ray E. Wolfe Attorney for Plaintiff
Nicholas Dubois Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
19 52			
Aug 12	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Writ of attachment issued.	4.00	
Aug 13	Return on summons filed, together with writ of attachment, showing service on the 13 th day of August, 1952. Inventory of property attached shows cash & check in the sum of \$166.50.		
✓ 13	Cost bill filed by Constable E. R. Mason in the sum of \$5.00 service fees and \$3.50 mileage.		
✓ 20	Answer and counter-claim filed by Defendants. Time for demurrer - September 2, 1952.		
✓ 29	Time of trial set for Sept. 18, 1952 at 10 A.M.		
Sept 17	Continuation granted to October 2, 1952 at 10 A.M.		
Oct 1	Taken from calendar. Time of continuation to be set upon Defendants' counsel return from south.		
1953 Dec 8	Request for dismissal with prejudice filed by Plaintiffs and Counsel, stipulated to by Defendant, Thomas Wasson.		
Dec 8	The action having been settled to the satisfaction of Plaintiffs, and Defendants having sought no affirmative relief, now therefore be it ordered that dismissal with prejudice be, and it is herewith ordered and entered.		
Dec 8	Order to Constable E. R. Mason to release the sum of \$166.50 held by him under writ of attachment.		
Dec 8	Obligations of sureties on bond ordered discharged.		
	<u>Marion M. Putney</u> Judge of Sierra Judicial District		

In the Justice's Court of
County of MADEIRA

Sierra Judicial District

Township,

State of California

Emanuel Lied

Action

Damages and
Claim & Delivery

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 431.00, plus damages \$60.00Bernard O. Arney andJens W. Nielsen

Attorney for Plaintiff

Florence Arney, his wife

Defendant

None

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1952			
Nov 7	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing fee paid.</u>	4 00	
✓ 7	<u>Undertaking for claim and delivery filed.</u>		
✓ 7	<u>affidavit for claim and delivery filed.</u>		
✓ 18	<u>Return on Summons + claim filed by Constable E. N. Mason, showing service November 16, 1952.</u>		
Nov 26	<u>Defendant enters oral general denial.</u>		
✓ 26	<u>Action set for trial Dec. 18th at 2 P.M.</u>		
Dec 18	<u>Action regularly called for trial with Plaintiff and Counsel present, defendants present but not represented by counsel. Witnesses for Plaintiff: - Emanuel Lied, Plaintiff. Witnesses for Defense: - Bernard O. Arney, Defendant. Evidence entered by Defense: Exhibit A, Written notice by Defendant, B. O. Arney, directing removal of certain personal property from premises by Plaintiff.</u>		
Dec 18	<u>Motion by Defendant Bernard O. Arney judgment be withheld pending removal of 7 lumber listed in affidavit granted.</u>		
Dec 18	<u>Case taken under submission by court.</u>		
Dec 24	<u>Upon Constable Mason's statement that completion of removal of personal property has been accomplished in accordance with request of Defendant, now therefore:</u> <u>Judgment is rendered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for delivery of personal property as set forth in affidavit for Claim and Delivery, and for the value thereof; \$60.00 damages and costs of suit to be ascertained upon memorandum to be filed with Court within 15 days.</u>		
Dec 24	<u>Notice of Objections & Entry of Judgment mailed to parties sent.</u> <u>Morse M. Whitney</u>		
1953			
Jan 6	<u>Cost bill in the sum of \$27.00 filed by Plaintiff.</u>		
Jan 13	<u>Time for motion by Defendant for taxing of costs by court having passed, and there being no motion filed, now therefore be it ordered that costs be, and they are herewith taxed at the sum of \$27.00, said costs being a part of the judgment herein entered.</u>		
Jan 13	<u>Notice of inclusion of costs in judgment mailed to all parties sent.</u> <u>Morse M. Whitney</u>		

Feb. 16 - Defendant, Bernard O. Arney, deposits with Court \$87.00 to be transmitted to Plaintiff in satisfaction of judgment.

Feb. 20 - Notice of satisfaction in full filed by Attorney J. Plaintiff.

Money order # 12-87548954, payable to Emanuel Lied, mailed to attorney Jens W. Nielsen.

Morse M. Whitney

Judge of
Sierra Judicial District

Judge of
Sierra Judicial District

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

B. E. Crowell and Eva L.
Crowell

Plaintiff s

vs.

O. D. Smith, Benny Rosecoe
Smith, First Doe & Second Doe
Defendant s

Action Damages

Demand, \$ 239.42

Colaine Pettit

Attorney for Plaintiff s

John Said

Attorney for Defendant s

DATE 19 53	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Jan 23	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing Fee paid - Ret. # 1192	4 00	
✓ 23	Petition for appointment of Guardian Ad Litem filed, naming Eva L. Crowell as guardian ad litem of B. E. Crowell, a minor.		
✓ 23	Order appointing Eva L. Crowell as guardian ad litem of B. E. Crowell, a minor, issued.		
Feb 10	Written answers filed by Defendants, generally and specifically denying allegations in complaint.		
Feb 10	Cause set for trial at 2 P. M. on the 25 th day of February 1953		
Feb 17	An stipulation of counsel for all parties time of trial is reset for May 5, 1953 at 2 P. M. Notice mailed to all attorneys.		
Mar 27	Stipulation for Dismissal by counsel for Plaintiffs and for Defendants filed.		
Mar 27	Upon stipulation properly executed for dismissal with prejudice and without costs being filed with the Court, now therefore be it ordered that Dismissal be, and it is herewith granted, and entered.		
Mar 27	Certified copy of Stipulation for Dismissal requested by counsel for Defendants. Certification fee paid - Ret. # 6824	25	
Mar 27	Certified copy of Stipulation of Dismissal mailed and mailed to John Said, counsel for Defendants.		

Marion McKinley

Judge of
Sierra Judicial District

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

W. A. Sturgeon Co., Inc., a
Corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Lewis R. Burnett and Adeline
L. Burnett, John Doe & Jane Doe

Defendant

Action Open Book A/cDemand, \$ 468.98Allan S. Mason

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1953	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Apr 30	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fee paid - Ret # 6809	4 00	
✓ 30	Affidavit & undertaking for writ of Attachment filed.		
✓ 30	Writ of Attachment issued.		
June 20	Return on Summons filed showing service May 6, 1953 by Fred J. Crabt, Constable Anderson Jud. Dist, Fresno Co. Writ of Attachment unserved.		
June 30	Memorandum of Costs filed in the sum of \$9.00.		
✓ 30	Affidavit non-military service filed.		
✓ 30	Request for default judgment entered in the sum of \$468.98 principal, \$16.38 interest and \$9.00 costs.		
✓ 30	Defendant having defaulted in appearance and time allotted by law having passed, now therefore he it ordered that judgment be, and it is herewith entered in the sum of \$468.98 principal, \$16.38 interest and \$9.00 costs.		
✓ 30	Notice of Rendition and Entry of Judgment mailed to all parties hereto.		
	<u>Darius M. Whitney</u> Judge of Sierra Judicial District		
1956			
Oct 26	Abstract of Judgment issued upon request of Plaintiff's legal representative Allan S. Mason. Fee paid. Ret # 7915	1 00	
1957			
Feb 28	Cost bill after judgment filed in the sum of \$4.00.		

In the Justice's Court of Stearns Judicial District Township,
County of Stearns, State of California

Ray Altermatt and Beva
Altermatt

Plaintiff s

vs.

Richard Chantier and
Joanna Margaret Chantier

Defendant s

Action Open book AccountDemand, \$ 333.18

David E. & Henry C. Peckinpaugh
Harold H. Charles Attorney for Plaintiff s
Hamilton

Walter J. Gendron
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1953	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 28	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing fee paid - Ret #6844</u>	4 00	
✓ 28	<u>affidavit and Undertaking for writ of attachment filed.</u>		
✓ 28	<u>Writ of attachment issued.</u>		
✓ 29	<u>Plaintiff, Beva Altermatt, requests withholding of service of summons and writ until further notice.</u>		
	<u>Thera J. Altermatt</u>		
Aug 10	<u>Summons and writ handed to Constable Mason for service at request of Plaintiff.</u>		
Aug 19	<u>Return on writ of attachment filed showing service Aug 10, 1953 - inventory - one 1940 Ford Plymouth Sedan, lic. # 3J276</u>		
Oct 13	<u>Defendant Joanna Margaret Chantier deposits with court money order # 12-25, 015, 301, dated 10-13-53, payable to Walter J. Gendron; said money order being in the sum of \$23.30, costs as demanded by Plaintiff.</u>		
Oct 13	<u>Money order # 12-25, 015, 301, payable to Walter J. Gendron in the sum of \$23.30 transmitted by U.S. mail to said Walter J. Gendron.</u>		
Oct 22	<u>Prayer for dismissal with prejudice filed by attorney for Plaintiff, stipulated to by attorney for Defendant (with signature)</u>		
Oct 22	<u>Now therefore, be it ordered that dismissal of the within action be, and it is herewith entered.</u>		
	<u>Marcus M. Widney</u> Judge of Stearns Judicial District		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

L. H. Betty, dba North Fork
Home + Auto Supply
Plaintiff

vs.

Tim Tunnyhill
Defendant

Action Open book account

Demand, \$ 89.49

Arthur C. Wahlberg
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1953	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Sept 10	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing Fee paid. Ret. # 6891	4.00	
✓ 10	Affidavit & Undertaking for writ of attachment filed . Cash bond \$50.00 posted. Ret. # 6892		
✓ 10	Writ of attachment issued. Summons + writ to Dep. Shp. Kramer for service.		
✓ 15	Return on Summons and writ of attachment filed. Inventory of property attached - Cash in the sum of \$91.69.		
✓ 22	Defendant defaulted in appearance		
✓ 24	Cash bill in the sum of \$4.25 filed.		
✓ 25	Affidavit non-military service filed.		
✓ 25	Motion for judgment by default filed by Plaintiff.		
✓ 25	Defendant having defaulted by failing to appear within the prescribed time, now therefore, he is ordered that judgment be, and it is herewith entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant, in the sum of \$89.49 principal, plus costs in the sum of \$8.35.		
✓ 25	Notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to both parties hereto.		
Oct 6	Satisfaction in full acknowledged this 5th day of October, 1953, in the sum of \$89.49 principal and \$8.35 costs. L. H. Betty.		
Oct 6	Order to auditor to draw warrant in the sum of \$50.00 in exoneration of cash bond posted by Plaintiff.		
	<p>Marion H. Putney Judge of the Court Sierra Judicial District</p>		
Oct 9	Receipt of Co. Work - #1887, refund of cash bond of \$50.00, acknowledged. L. H. Betty.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Williams Resorts, Inc.; a corp-

oration
Plaintiff

vs.

Clifford K. Sanderson

Defendant

Action Complaint for Debt

Demand, \$ 334.49

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1954	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Nov 10	Complaint filed and Summons issued. <i>Applicant for attachment against</i> <i>resident filed. Writ of attachment issued.</i> <i>Filing fee paid. Ret. # 7242</i> <i>Cash bond in the sum of \$50.00 posted. Ret. # 7243</i>	4 00	
Nov 12	Defendant in court, acknowledges an obligation, but demurs to the amount thereof. Set for hearing at 2 P.M. on the 22 nd day of November, 1954.		
Nov 22	Plaintiff reports full payment of obligation. - 22 Within demand hearing, <i>settled prior to coming to</i> <i>trial, nor therefore be it ordered that further</i> <i>action be, and it is herewith dismissed with</i> <i>prejudice against plaintiff filing another action on</i> <i>this complaint, and without costs.</i> <i>Done in your court this 22nd day of November, 1954</i>		
Nov 22	Order to auditor to draw warrant in the sum of \$50.00 for return of civil bond posted by defendant Plaintiff.		
	<i>Marian M. Putney</i> Marian M. Putney County of _____ Court Sierra Judicial District		
Nov 24	Return on summons and writ of attachment filed showing service November 11, 1954		
Nov 29	Refund civil bond \$50.00, payable to Plaintiff received from Co. Auditor, Ret. # 3562, and mailed to Plaintiff.		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Jim Jones, Gertrude Jones,
Joe One, Joe Two, Joe Three
Defendants

Action Complaint for Assessments
and Penalties

Demand, \$ 65-11

John E. Foust, Jr. - Atty. Gen'l.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 54	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Dec 27	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fees omitted pursuant to Sec. 6103, Government Code. Attached to, incorporated in, and made a part of complaint, and designated as "Exhibit A" - Marketing Order for Promotion of California Turkeys, effective July 9, 1952. Also attached to, incorporated in, and made a part of complaint, and designated as "Exhibit B" - Order of Director of Agriculture establishing assessment rates for marketing order for promotion of California turkeys for period of July 9, 1952 thru June 30, 1953.	5 00	8/3/59
1955			
May 12	Return on Summons filed showing service on Defendants Jim Jones and Gertrude Jones on 9th day of January, 1955.		/
May 12	Request for default filed by Plaintiff.		
May 12	Affidavit re: non-military service filed on behalf of Plaintiff before Judgment on Default Action.		
May 12	On application of Plaintiff, and it appearing from return enforced on Summons that on the 9th day of January, 1955, the within named defendants Jim Jones and Gertrude Jones were personally served with due process, and they having failed to appear, answer or demand within the time allowed by law, their default is hereby duly entered this 12 day of May, 1955.		
	<i>Martha M. Putney</i> Martha M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		
1957			
Sept 17	Time for hearing on default set for 2:30 P.M. October 8, 1957.		
Oct 8	Hearing regularly called. Present for People, Peter J. Kennedy, Atty. Gen'l. Defendants not present.		
Oct 8	Witness for People: R. J. Harris, Inspector, Bureau of Markets St. Agr. testimony heard. People's Exhibit "C" entered, to wit: Photostatic copies of monthly reports turkeys received, Swift & Co., Dec. 6, 1952 - Mary Putney Feb. 28, 1953.		
Oct 8	Defendants having been regularly served with summons and copy of complaint, having failed to appear and answer plaintiff's complaint within time allowed by law, and default of defendant having been duly entered, upon application of Plaintiff, testimony is heard and evidence considered. Plaintiff reports payment of \$32.56 on obligation.		
Oct 8	Plaintiff files memorandum of costs in the sum of \$5.00 (Sec. 6103-5, Gov. Code) now therefore it is ordered adjudged that Plaintiff do have and recover from Defendants the sum of \$32.55 principal, together with costs therein taxed at \$5.00, upon a default judgment.		
Oct 8	Done in presence of this 8 day of October, 1957. <i>Martha M. Putney</i> notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to all parties hereto.		

1959 - Aug 3 - Notice of settlement of judgment filed by John Foust Jr. Atty. General
Aug 3 - Filing fee paid under provisions of Gov. Code Sec. 6103-5. Ref # 9286

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

United States Credit Bureau, Inc

Action Open book acct-stated

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 340.00

Ernest B. Settle, John Doe & Jane

La Verne M. Hayes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Do

Blaine Pettit

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant S

FEES

Plaintiff Defendant

4 00

1 00

2 00

1956 - Mar. 27 - acknowledgment of writ in sum of \$1,400.00 filed. Plaintiff for execution filed.
Mar. 27 - request for writ of execution denied by court & Plaintiff having filed to show
good cause otherwise under terms of stipulation for satisfaction of judgment.
Nov. 21 - writ of execution returned to Plaintiff.
Nov. 21 - writ of execution requested in sum of \$179.27. Filing fee paid. Ret # 7935-
Nov. 21 - writ of execution issued.

1 00

Ret # 7243

U.S. Credit Bureau vs Settle

1957

July 31 - writ of execution returned undisturbed

July 31 - writ of execution returned undisturbed

July 31 - writ of execution returned undisturbed

July 31 - writ of execution returned undisturbed

July 31 - writ of execution returned undisturbed

Sept 19 - writ of execution returned undisturbed

Sept 19 - writ of execution returned undisturbed

Sept 19 - writ of execution returned undisturbed

Marcia M. Putney
Judge of the Justice Court
Sierra Judicial District

Ret # 8113

\$1.00

Apr. 21 - Plaintiff requests issuance of abstract of judgment - Filing fee paid
Apr. 21 - abstract of judgment issued.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Tim Jones, Gertrude Jones,

Joe One, Joe Two, Joe Three

Defendant

Action Complaint for Assessments
and Penalties

Demand, \$ 65.11

John E. Fount, Jr. Atty. Gen'l.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE

19 54

Dec 27

1955

May 12

May 12

May 12

May 12

1957

Sept 17

Oct 8

Oct 8

Oct 8

Oct 8

Oct 8

adjudicated; upon application of Plaintiff, testimony is heard and evidence
considered. Plaintiff reports payment of \$32.56 in obligation.
Plaintiff files memorandum of costs in the sum of \$5.00 (Sec. 6103.5, Govt. Code)
now therefore it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff do have and recover
from defendants the sum of \$32.55 principal, together with costs therein
taxed at \$5.00, upon a default judgment.
Done in presence of this 8 day of October, 1957. Marion M. Dineen
Judge of the Justice Court
County of Humboldt District

1954 - Aug 3 - Notice of sale filed in Justice Court by John Fount, Jr. Atty. General
Aug 3 - Filing fee paid under provisions of Govt. Code Sec. 6103.5. Ref # 9286

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

United States Credit Bureau, Inc.

Action Open book acct. stated

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 340⁰⁰

Ernest B. Suttle, John Doe & Jane

W. Verne M. Hayes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Do

Blaine Pettit

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant S

DATE 19 55	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Mar 11	Complaint filed and Summons issued Filing fee Paid. Ret. # 7274	4 00	
Mar 29	Answer filed on behalf of Defendant, Ernest B. Suttle. Filing fee paid. Ret. # 7275		
✓ 29	affidavit of service by mail of answer filed.	1 00	2 00
✓ 29	Cause set for hearing at 2 P.M. April 29, 1955		
Apr 21	Stipulation for judgment, duly signed and agreed to by attorney for Defendant filed; together with notice of entry of judgment and affidavit re military service.		
Apr 21	Application of Plaintiff filed for judgment by court pursuant to written stipulation in the sum of \$ 330 ⁰⁰ principal; \$ 27.18 interest; together with costs therein taxed at \$ 16.00. Said judgment to be paid \$ 10 ⁰⁰ per month on the 15 th day of each month beginning May 15, 1955, except that \$ 25 ⁰⁰ shall be paid the 15 th day of July, August, September and October each year, with entire judgment payable on or before October 1, 1956.		
Apr 21	Defendant's attorney, Blaine Pettit, having stipulated for judgment, upon application of Plaintiff to the Court pursuant to said stipulation, the Court ordered the following Judgment: It is adjudged that Plaintiff, United States Credit Bureau, Inc., a corporation, have and recover from said defendant, Ernest B. Suttle, the sum of \$ 330.00 principal; \$ 27.18 interest; together with costs therein taxed at \$ 16.00, upon such terms and conditions as are set forth in said written stipulation. Done in open court this 21 st day of April, 1955. J. Verne M. Hayes Attorney for Plaintiff Blaine Pettit Attorney for Defendant		
Apr 21	Plaintiff requests issuance of Abstract of Judgment - Paid	1 00	
Apr 21	Abstract of Judgment issued.		

In the Justice's Court of
County of

Sierra Judicial District
County of Bladen
State of California

Township,
State of California

E. W. Carter and Thelma B.

Carter, husband and wife

Plaintiffs

vs.

Clifford Sanderson and

Loris Sanderson

Defendants

Action Complaint for Debt

Demand, \$ 228.42

Walter C. Wohlberg

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1955			
Aug 8	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing fee paid. Ret. # 7425</u>	4.00	
Sept 8	<u>Affidavit for and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Writ of attachment issued.</u>		
1956			
June 27	<u>Taken from calendar.</u>		
	<u>Marcia M. Putney</u> Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		
1961			
Aug 23	<u>Removal ordered under provision of Sec 581-a, C.P.</u>		
	<u>Marcia M. Putney</u> Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

County of Madera, a Political
Subdivision of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

E. B. Settle, aka Sierra Construct-
ion Co., John Doe, Richard Roe, and
John Doe Company, a corporation

Defendants


Action Complaint to recover unpaid
personal property taxes

Demand, \$ 339.14

Walter J. Gendron, Dist. Atty., Madera Co.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 56	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Mar 21	Complaint filed and Summons issued.		
Apr 3	Defendant, Ernest B. Settle, appeared in court, acknow- ledged obligation, and stated he was not contesting action. Stated he thought he could take care of obli- gation within 10 days. Defendant advised that if he fails to do so he should contact District Attorney - Summons returned and filed.		
Apr 9	Request for default judgment filed.		
✓ 9	Affidavit re military service filed.		
✓ 9	Defendant having filed verbal answer and having stated that he acknowledges obligation and will not contest action, now therefore it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff do have and recover from defendant the sum of \$339.14 principal and costs of suit.		
Apr 9	Request for abstract of judgment filed.		
Apr 10	Notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to both parties hereto.		
Apr 10	Abstract of judgment issued.		
	 Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		
Dec 13	Plaintiff files notice of satisfaction in full of mother's claim, together with affidavit of recording in Book 667, at Page 104, Official Records of the County of Madera.		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of Madura North Fork, State of California

County of Madura, a Political Subdivision
of the State of California

Plaintiff

vs.

S. B. Kirby, d/b Ponderosa Pines
Theatre

Defendant

Action Complaint to recover unpaid personal
property taxes

Demand, \$ 120⁰⁰

Walter T. Crocker, Dist. Atty. - Madura Co.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>56</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
<u>Mar 21</u>	<u>Complaint filed and Summons issued</u>		
<u>Apr 9</u>	<u>Summons returned and filed. Service made Mar. 24, 1956</u>		
<u>- 9</u>	<u>Request for default judgment filed.</u>		
<u>- 9</u>	<u>affidavit re military service filed.</u>		
<u>- 9</u>	<u>Defendant having been duly served, and having failed to answer within the time allowed, now therefore it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff do have and recover from the Defendant the sum of \$120⁰⁰ principal, together with costs of suit.</u>		
<u>Apr 10</u>	<u>Notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to both parties hereto.</u>		
<u>Apr 9</u>	<u>Request for abstract of judgment filed.</u>		
<u>Apr 10</u>	<u>Abstract of judgment issued.</u>		
	<u>Marcia M. Putney</u> Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of Madara North Fork, California

County of Madara, a Political Sub-
division of the State of California

Plaintiff

vs.

S. W. Kirby, aka Ponderosa

Pines Theatre

Defendant

Action Complaint to recover unpaid

personal property taxes


Demand, \$ 282.44

Walter J. Crundson, Dist. Atty. - Madara Co.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE	19 <u>56</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
Mar	21	Complaint filed and Summons issued		
Apr	9	Summons returned and filed.		
-	9	Request for default judgment filed.		
-	9	affidavit re military service filed.		
-	9	Defendant having been duly served with summons, and the lawful time having expired for the filing of answer, and no answer or demurrer having been filed, now therefore be it ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff do have and recover from defendant the sum of \$282.44, principal, together with costs of suit.		
Apr	9	Request for abstract of judgment filed.		
Apr	10	Notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to both parties hereto.		
Apr	10	Abstract of judgment issued.		
 Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District				

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of Placer State of California

E. W. Carter, dba The Old Corral

Plaintiff

vs.

C. E. Brown

Defendant

Action Complaint based on debt

Demand, \$ 202.04

Arthur C. Welchberg

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1956	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 16 1957	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fee paid. Ret. # 7735	4 00	
Oct 1	Return on Summons filed. Service made July 26, 1956.		
Oct 1	Request for default filed.		
✓ 1	Affidavit re military service filed.		
✓ 1	Cost bill filed as follows: - Filing fee \$4.00, service fee \$2.40, Total \$6.40.		
✓ 1	Request for Writ of Execution filed.		
✓ 1	Affidavit re payment of \$85.00 filed, leaving balance on principal of \$117.04.		
Oct 1	Defendant having been duly served with Summons, and legal time for answering having expired, and no answer or demurrer having been filed, now therefore be it ordered that Plaintiff do have and recover from Defendant the sum of \$202.04, less credit for \$85.00 paid, leaving balance due on principal of \$117.04, together with costs therein taxed at \$6.40, making a total of \$123.44. Done in open court this 1 st day of October, 1957.		
	<u>Marcia M. Putney</u> Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		
Oct 1	Notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to Plaintiff. No address available for defendant. Copy attached to Writ of Execution for service on Defendant.		
Oct 1	Writ of Execution issued. Fee paid.	1 00	Ret # 8208

In the Justice's Court of
County of MADERA

Sierra Judicial District

Township,

State of California

J. E. Griffin

Action Complaint for debt

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 272.96, plus interest at 7% from
March 9, 1956

Joe A. Smith and Mrs.

Douglas A-May
Attorney

Attorney for Plaintiff

Joe A. Smith

Defendant 5

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE E. S. F.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
19	56		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 9		Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fee paid. Ret # 7776	4 00	
Aug 10		Affidavit and undertaking for writ of Attachment filed. Sureties - William L. Klette and Geo. W. Greenham.		
Aug 10		Writ of Attachment issued in the sum of \$ 272.96.		
Aug 10		Return on Summons filed.		
Aug 10		Return on Writ of Attachment filed. Inventory of property attached: Assoc. Bk. & Bx payroll check - \$ 157.29. 1 Int. Truck Engine # 03LD 25022094. 1 Oxygen + 1 acetylene Tank - Valves, gauges, hoses & cutting tools.		
Aug 10		Plaintiff verbally files costs of service, confirmed by Constable Mason in the sum of \$ 7.60 (\$1.00 fee - 66¢ mil.)		
Aug 10		Defendants present in court, acknowledge obligation and state they are neither one contesting action. Request permission to satisfy obligation in installment payments.		
Aug 10		Plaintiff called into court to discuss manner of payment.		
Aug 10		Defendants, each for himself, acknowledging obligation and declining to contest action, now therefore it is ordered that Plaintiff do have and recover from Defendants the sum of \$ 272.96 principal, interest in the sum of \$ 7.84, together with costs therein taxed at \$ 11.60, for a total of \$ 292.43.		
Aug 10		It is agreed by and between Plaintiff and Defendants stipulation as to manner of satisfaction of judgment shall be filed with court within 10 days.		
Aug 10		Notice of rendition and entry of judgment handed personally to each of defendants and to Plaintiff.		
Aug 10		All parties hereto notified Attachment to remain in force until filing of stipulation.		
Aug 10		Sureties for plaintiff discharged from obligation. Done in open court this 10 day of August, 1956.		
Aug 15		Writ of Attachment is now collected and paid by Plaintiff in full. Plaintiff's judgment notwithstanding the verdict is entered. It is ordered that all costs of this case be released. As to the sum of \$125.00 each, be released. As to the sum of \$125.00 each, be released. As to the sum of \$125.00 each, be released.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear in court to submit decision to the court. The court has heard the evidence and the parties' arguments. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision. The court has rendered its decision.		
Aug 22		Plaintiff and defendant appear		

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____, State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
	Complaint filed and Summons issued		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

W. R. Cuhlan, M.D.

Plaintiff

vs.

John G. Roth and Arthur
Raymond Roth, aka Roth Furniture
Co., John Doe I to X including
John Doe X

Defendant s

Action Complaint for indebtedness

Demand, \$ 156.04 and costs

Walter L. Chandler

Attorney for Plaintiff

Floyd H. Hyde

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1956	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Dec 18 1957	Complaint filed and Summons issued Filing fee paid- Ret. # 7945	5.00	
Jan 11	Memoirs on behalf of Defendant, Arthur Raymond Roth, filed. Fee Paid.		Ret. # 7952 2.00
Jan 11	Motion for change venue filed together with grants of authority and affidavit of merits.		
Jan 11	Hearing on motion for change of venue set down for Jan. 25, 1957 at 10:00 AM.		
Jan 11	Memoirs overruled.		
Jan 18	Statement filed in writing by counsel for Plaintiff that he will not contest motion for change of venue.		
Jan 21	Statement filed in writing by counsel for Defendant that he will not present further arguments on motion for change of venue.		
Jan 25	Motion for change of venue having been filed, and Plaintiff having stated he is not opposing it, and it appearing to the Court there is just cause for granting of said motion, now therefore be it ordered that change of venue be, and it is herewith ordered, to the Municipal Court, Fresno Judicial District, County of Fresno, State of California. It is further ordered that costs paid by defendant be refunded under the provisions of Section 399 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.		
Jan 25	All papers and pleadings filed forwarded to Municipal Court, Fresno Judicial District, County of Fresno.		
Jan 25	Order to County Auditor to draw warrant in sum of \$2.00, payable to Lopez and Hyde, Attys-at-Law, for refund of costs paid by defendant, Arthur Raymond Roth.		
Jan 25	Copies of Order for Change of Venue mailed to counsel for both parties hereto. Done in open court this 25 day of January, 1957. H. M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		

Jan. 29 - It having been determined by the Court that the order made in order to refund of costs for filing demands, now therefore be it ordered that order to set aside and amended order for change of venue be issued.
Jan. 29 - amended order for change of venue issued.
Jan. 29 - Papers and pleadings submitted by Municipal Court, Fresno Judicial District for return of costs.
Sept. 11 - Counsel for Plaintiff, request copies of orders at this time.
Sept. 13 - Clerk's office, together with check for filing fee in the sum of \$2.00, said check enclosed by this court payable to the Clerk of the Municipal Court, Fresno Judicial District, County of Fresno. It is recommended that said Plaintiff be granted the costs of the Municipal Court, under order for change of venue granted on Jan. 25, 1957.

Mar. 5 - Return filed in M.D. of Attachment showing \$84.29 attached. Previous attachments \$39.32 & \$81.42 - Total

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Judicial District Township,
County of MADERA, State of California

Margorie Hanson
Plaintiff
vs.
Lyde E. Ellis
Defendant

Action Complaint for debt
Demand, \$ 326.70 and costs
Harriet L. Tansley
Attorney for Plaintiff
J. Thomas Lindsay
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1956	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Dec 19	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fee paid. Ret # 7947	5.00	
Dec 19	affidavit for Undertaking on Attachment filed.		
Dec 19	Grangers Indemnity Co. of Hartford, Connecticut Under- (*500.00) taking, filed. No bond number on Undertaking. Ret # 7948		
Dec 19	Writ of Attachment issued.		
1957			
Jan 9	Return filed on Summons and Writ of Attachment. Time for filing answer - January 21, 1957. Costs on service - fees \$2.00, mileage \$6.30, total \$8.30.		
Jan 18	Demurrer filed on behalf of defendant. Fee paid. Ret # 7957		2.00
Jan 18	Demurrer overruled. Time for filing answer - February 4, 1957 at 10 A.M.		
Feb 1	Counter-claim for exemption filed by Plaintiff. Fee paid - Ret # 7976		50
Feb 7	Constable E. H. Mason filed copy of claim for exemption filed with him January 25, 1957; total amount picked up under Writ of Attachment being \$120.44; showing service on Plaintiff January 28, 1957.		
Feb 14	Answer filed by defendant, together with affidavit of service by mail on counsel for Plaintiff - answer admitting Paragraphs I and II of First Cause of action, and denying all other allegations set forth in First Cause, and denying all allegations as set forth in Count II.		
Feb 14	Action set down for hearing on February 28, 1957 at 2 P.M.		
Feb 28	Care regularly called for hearing, with Plaintiff and Defendant present, each represented by Counsel. Testimony taken. Witness for Plaintiff - Plaintiff. Witness for Defendant - Defendant. Plaintiff's Exhibit A - Property settlement agreement dated July 1, 1955. Exhibit taken under submission by the Court.		
Feb 28	affidavit for Undertaking on Writ of Attachment filed, under surety bond previously executed. Writ of Attachment issued.		
Mar 5	Fee paid		
Mar 6	upon review of the testimony and examination of the evidence the court finds that Defendant is indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of \$200.00 for support payments for minor children under the terms of the Property settlement agreement, and for the sum of \$76.70 medical expenses for minor children. Wherefore it is by the court ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff have and receive from the Defendant the sum of \$200.00 support payments together with \$76.70 for medical expenses, and for costs herein taxed at \$22.10; total \$298.80.		
	J. Thomas Lindsay Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		

Mar 5 - Return filed in Writ of Attachment showing \$89.29 attached. Previous attachments \$34.32 & \$81.42. Total
under attachment - \$204.03. Costs of service, \$1.00 per page \$6.30 mileage - total \$7.30.

Plaintiff up to the
to return money under attachment
of the judgment of \$298.80.
Plaintiff and her reports judgment satisfied in full, with balance of \$16.84 returned
to defendant.

Ret # 8000

Sierra Judicial District
County of Modera
North Fork, California.

Action Complaint for damages

Demand, \$ 395-00

James R. Hanhart

Defendant **S**

Attorney for Defendant

[illegible]

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Les Smith and Lois Smith

Plaintiff s

vs.

Louis Davis

Defendant

Action Complaint in recovery of money on written contract, and open account

Demand, \$ 154 80

Woodland, Woodland & Richardson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>57</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 10	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing fee Pd.</u>	5 00	Ret #18072
✓ 10	Affidavit for writ of attachment filed. Civil Bond posted.	50 00	Ret #18043 Civ. Bond.
✓ 10	Writ of attachment issued.		
July 17	Summons returned - Service July 15, 1957 - Fees: \$1.00 mileage \$18.50 total \$19.50.		
July 30	Return on <u>summons</u> filed. Service July 16, 1957		
✓ 30	Plaintiff requests default of defendant be entered.		
✓ 30	Affidavit re military service filed.		
✓ 30	Defendant having been duly served with Summons, and legal time for answering having expired, and no demurrer or answer having been filed, now therefore it is ordered that Plaintiff's do have and recover from Defendant, the sum of \$154.80 principal, together with interest of \$8.00, attorney's fees of \$50.00, and costs therein taxed at \$24.90, for a total of \$237.70.		
July 30	Notice of rendition and entry of Judgment handed personally to Plaintiff and mailed to Defendant. Done in open court this 30 day of July, 1957 <u>Marcia M. Putney</u> Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		
Aug 9	Time for taking appeal having expired, and no notice of appeal or petition for stay of execution having been filed, now therefore it is ordered that monies held under attachment be released to Plaintiff as Judgment - Creditors.		
Aug 9	Execution and return of Civil Bond ordered.		
Aug 9	Plaintiff requests writ of execution. Free jail.	1 00	Ret #18130
✓ 9	Writ of execution issued.		
Aug 13	Co. Wat. #638 received from Auditor for refund on Civil Bond posted. Warrant mailed to Plaintiff.		
Aug 28	Writ of execution returned, fully satisfied.		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Arnold Alejandra

Plaintiff

vs.

William Richard Ryan andJames Kelso Washburn

Defendants

Action Complaint for damagesDemand, \$ 318.52Kenzie Thoresen Thompson & Thompson
(Maurice E. Smith Attorney for Plaintiff)

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>57</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
<u>Aug 15</u>	<u>Complaint filed and Summons issued</u>	<u>Filing fee paid. Ret. # 8140</u>	<u>\$4.00 - Law Library</u>
<u>Oct 16</u>	<u>Written request for dismissal filed by Plaintiffs</u>		
	<u>Attorneys</u>		
<u>Oct 18</u>	<u>No counter-claims having been filed, nor affirmative relief sought by defendants, nor therefore be it ordered, adjudged and decreed that within action be and it is herewith dismissed with prejudice under the provisions of Sub-section 1 of Section 581, California Code of Civil Procedure Done in open court this 18 day of October, 1957</u>		
	<u>Marcia M. Putney</u>		
	<u>Judge of the Justice Court</u>		
	<u>Sierra Judicial District</u>		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Margorie Hanson

Plaintiff

vs.

Wyle E. Ellis

Defendant

Action Complaint on Contract

Demand, \$ 415-68

In propria persona

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. Thomas Lindsey

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1957	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Sept 24	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fee paid.	5 00	
Sept 24	affidavit for attachment against resident filed. Plaintiff states Travelers Indemnity Bond previously executed good until December, 1957		
Sept 24	Writ of attachment issued. Fee paid.	1 00	
Sept 25	Return on Summons filed. Service Sept. 25, 1957		
Oct 7	Demurrers filed by Defendant. Fee paid		2 00
Oct 15	Demurrers sustained. Time for filing of Amended Complaint - October 25, 1957.		
Oct 21	Plaintiff requests time for filing amended complaint be extended to Nov. 1, 1957. There being no objection by defense, additional time is allowed.		
Oct 30	First Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiff.		
✓ 30	Time for filing of demurrer or answer, Nov. 14, 1957 at 10 A.M.		
Nov 18	Demurrer to first amended complaint filed and taken under advisement.		
Nov 18	Constable E. H. Mason reports the sum of \$269.02 held under writ of attachment.		
Dec 5	Demurrer to First Amended Complaint sustained.		
Dec 5	The court having examined into the points and authorities in support of said demurrer, and having thereafter determined that the court is without jurisdiction of the subject matter of the complaint, now therefore, by reason of the law and premises aforesaid it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that First Amended Complaint filed herein be, and it is, herewith dismissed without leave to amend.		
Dec 5	Constable directed to release to Defendant in care of his counsel, J. Thomas Lindsey, all moneys held under attachment. Done in open court this 5th day of December, 1957. Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court		
Dec 6	Judge of this court having been served with restraining order on release of moneys to Defendant's counsel, order issued to E. H. Mason, Constable, cancelling, and sitting aside.		

Judge of the Justice Court

Marcia M. Putney

order issued Dec. 5, 1957 for release of said moneys and directing him to return moneys in his custody and under his control in compliance with restraining order issued by Superior Court.
Dec. 23 - Notice of dismissal from Order filed by Plaintiff together with copy of dismissal of cross-complaint letter to Dep't's counsel. Request for release of moneys under attachment to Dep't's counsel filed by Plaintiff. Dec. 23 - Order to Constable to release sum of \$269.02 held under attachment to J. Thomas Lindsey, Atty. for Defendant.

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Waymond Wagner

Plaintiff

vs.

Paul S. Colton and William

A. Colton

Defendant

Action Complaint for Property Damage

Demand, \$ 171.49

J. C. Hammel

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>57</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
<u>Oct 15</u>	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing & Law Library fees paid</u>	<u>5.00</u>	<u>Ret # 82.4</u>
<u>1958</u>			
<u>Nov 20</u>	Request for dismissal with prejudice filed by <u>J. C. Hammel, Atty for Plaintiff - full settlement of claim having been made.</u>		
<u>Nov 20</u>	Pursuant to request by Attorney for Plaintiff, a dismissal with prejudice to the filing of another action by Plaintiff on his complaint is ordered. Done in open court this 20 th day of November, 1958		
	<u>J. C. Hammel</u> Attorney for Plaintiff		
	<u>J. C. Hammel</u> Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Granville C. Rippey

Plaintiff

vs.

H. Van Hoorheke

Defendant

Action Open Acct. & Acct-stated

Demand, \$ 345.05

Wird Christensen, Barnard & Wird
(Bjorklund), V.E. Attorney for Plaintiff

George A. Schroeder
Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>58</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
May 7	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fee paid.	5.00	Rip#8323
May 18	Return filed on summons - date of service May 15, 1958		
Apr 15	Answer and Cross-complaint filed by Defendant. Affidavit of mailing attached. All paid.		Rip#8351 2.00
Apr 15	Summons issued. Mailed to Constable Denson for service, together with Dep't's Cross-Complaints. Constable's check in sum of \$10.00, payable to said Constable.		
Apr 17	Return filed on Summons on Answer and Cross-Complaint. Constable's fee - \$1.00, \$11.00 mileage, Total \$12.00.		
Apr 23	Demurrer to Answer and Cross-Complaint filed by Plaintiff - Cross-Defendant. Demand for Bill of Particulars filed.		
Apr 28	Demurrer sustained. Time to amend - May 12, 1958		
May 7	First amended answer and cross-complaint filed by Dep't.		
May 21	Time set for hearing - 2 P.M. June 11, 1958.		
Jun 4	Continued to June 19, 1958 at 2 P.M. on account of all counsel, as stated in phone call from Dep't. Atty. George A. Schroeder.		
Jun 11	Answer to Cross-Defendant's first amended complaint filed. No affidavit of service on adverse party attached.		
Jun 19	Court regularly called for hearing. Plaintiff and Defendant present & each with counsel. Witnesses called:- Plaintiff:- Plaintiff, Granville C. Rippey Gail Termin (Bud) Williams - Vice Pres. Williams Resorts Inc. Cross-Complainant:- Cross-compl. A. Van Hoorheke Cross-Dep't. Gail Termin (Bud) Williams Defendant:- Defendant, A. Van Hoorheke Cross-Defendant:- Cross-Dep't. Gail Termin Williams Dep't. & Cross-compl. A. Van Hoorheke Rebuttal by Dep't & Cross-Complainant:- A. Van Hoorheke. Exhibits:- Plaintiff Exh. A - Letter from Granville C. Rippey to A. Van Hoorheke. Cross-Complainant:- Exh. # I - Bill from Williams Resorts to A. Van Hoorheke Exh. # II - Bill to Pines Resort & Rippey Exh. # III - Work sheet - Pines Resort, Williams Bros Lake and Granville Cross-Defendant:- Exh. # 1 - Statement to Pines Resort - Bal. \$397.55 Exh. # 2 - Bill of Particulars Testimony heard and exhibits entered.		
Jun 19	Case taken under submission by court.		
July 17	Judgment rendered on complaint in favor of Granville C. Rippey and against A. Van Hoorheke in the sum of \$345.05 together with costs thereintaxed at \$5.00. Judgment rendered on Cross-Complaint in favor of Alfred Van Hoorheke and against Williams Resorts Inc., a Calif. corporation and Gail Termin Williams, also known as Bud Williams, in the sum of \$266.43 together with costs thereintaxed at \$2.00.		
July 17	Notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to attorneys for parties hereto.		

Marcia M. Pursey
Judge of the Justice Court
Sierra Judicial District

Sup't 2 - Notice of satisfaction of judgment on complaint in full, and satisfaction of judgment on Cross-Complaint in full, attached to my counsel for all parties hereto.

[Signature]
Judge of the Justice Court
Sierra Judicial District

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Stores Collection Bureau of San
Mates Co. Inc.

Plaintiff

vs.

Inde Ruth Rice aka Mrs. Arthur
Rice, I. Ruth Rice and Ruth
Rice, First Doe & Second Doe

Defendant

Action Open book - Accts statedDemand, \$ 235⁰⁰Francis A. Guido

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>38</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Jun 18	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fee paid. Ref # 8439	5.00	
✓ 18	Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed.		
✓ 18	Writ of Attachment requested but not issued pending payment additional fee.		
Jun 23	\$1.00 in cash received from Plaintiff's attorney, together with letter stating the court is incorrect in collecting fee for writ of attachment. Govt. Code 71665-6		
Jun 23	Opinion sought from District Attorney in Govt. Code 71665 and 71665-6. Opinion given charge should be made. Contact with Madera Judicial District Court discloses no charge made for writ of attachment in that court. Decision: for sake of uniformity in Madera County charge not to be made for issuance of writ of attachment.		
Jun 24	Writ of Attachment issued. \$1.00 cash returned, with writ, to attorney for Plaintiff.		
Aug 4 1959	Return on Writ of Attachment filed - Fees \$9.20.		
Aug 10	Affidavit filed for Publication of Summons, supported by Exhibits "A" and "B".		
Aug 10	Order for publication of Summons issued under provisions of Sec. 412 C.P. & Sec. 6065 Govt. Code		
Nov 18	Return on Summons filed "unable to find" costs of service \$1.35.		
Nov 18	Certificate of Proof of service filed.		
✓ 18	Affidavit of Publication of Summons filed.		
✓ 18	Request for Defunct filed. Cost bill filed \$46.10. Affidavit re military service filed.		
Nov 18	Default judgment entered by Judge in sum of \$235.04 principal, \$53.75 interest, \$46.10 costs, Total \$334.89		
Nov 18	Writ of execution issued in sum of \$334.89 together with accrued costs \$1.50, Total \$336.39. Fee paid	1.50	
1960	Judge of the Justice Court Seated Judicial District		
Jan 19	Writ of Execution returned by Sheriff of Alameda County fully satisfied, including costs of service \$7.96.		

Ref #
9503

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Walter F. Whitman

Plaintiff

vs.

Robert E. Smith

Defendant

Action Open Book Acct - Acct statedDemand, \$ 220⁰⁰Ray W. Hays

Attorney for Plaintiff

Harris & Harris

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1958	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Nov 21	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing fee paid - Ret # 8834</u>	6 00	
Dec 19	Answer filed by Defendant, <u>Fee paid - Ret # 8880</u> <u>Affidavit of mailing Dec. 18, 1958</u>		2 00
1959			
Jan 5	Time set for hearing - <u>January 20, 1959 at 2 P.M.</u>		
Jan 8	Notice of time set for hearing prepared and filed by Counsel for Plaintiff, with affidavit of service on Counsel for Defendant.		
Jan 20	Care regularly called for hearing.		
Jan 20	Witnesses for Plaintiff: - Plaintiff, <u>Walter F. Whitman</u> . Witness for Defendant: Defendant <u>Robert E. Smith</u> . Defense exhibits: # I - check - <u>Smith to Whitman 8/25/56 - \$200⁰⁰</u> # II - check - <u>Smith to Whitman 10/5/56 - \$222⁰⁰</u> . # III Letter - <u>Smith to Whitman stating dissatisfaction with second denture and returning Plaintiff's statement for \$220⁰⁰ with letter.</u>		
Jan 20	Care taken under submission by court.		
Feb 6	Pursuant to review of testimony heard and evidence presented in the within matter, the court finds for the Plaintiff. Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff do have and recover from Defendant the sum of \$220 ⁰⁰ principal, together with costs therein taxed at \$6 ⁰⁰ , for a total of \$226 ⁰⁰ . Dated this 6 th day of February, 1959. <u>James M. Putney</u> Justice of the Peace Sierra Judicial District		
Mar 6	Notice of satisfaction of judgment filed.		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Lestlie B. Smith

Plaintiff

vs.

Paul Eganoff

Defendant

Action Complaint for moneyDemand, \$ 500.00

Robert H. Crossland

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1959	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Feb 5	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Affidavit for Attachment filed. writ of attachment issued. Filing fee paid	5.00	Ret # 8931
Feb 5	Cash bond posted for attachment	50.00	Ret # 8932
Feb 24	Constable E. H. Mason files affidavit of diligent search in attempt to serve summons. Also writ of attachment showing following property attached: 1942 Champion Steel Sedan 4-dr - 1956 Lic. A256 1955 Ford V8 Sedan 1958 Lic. ACV378-1958 Sticker 0296240.		
Feb 24	Declaration for Publication of Summons and Declaration of Motions filed by Plaintiff Lestlie B. Smith		
Feb 24	Declaration of Nylodean Ward filed re: personal property and voting registration of Defendant.		
July 13	Plaintiff files request for default.		
July 13	Affidavit re military service filed.		
July 13	Cost bill filed - \$52.50		
July 13	Third party claim in sum of \$138.53 on behalf of Larry's Service, P.O. Box 821, North Fork, Calif.		
July 13	Plaintiff has previously exhibited affidavit of Publication of Summons prior to legal time for entry of default. Plaintiff inadvertently took affidavit with him, and being unable to locate it at this time, will procure copy and furnish it to court. The court takes judicial notice that affidavit has been executed though it is now missing.		
July 13	Defendant having failed to answer or answer within legal time after publication of Summons as directed, now therefore it is ordered that Plaintiff do have and recover from Defendant the sum of \$500.00 principal, together with costs therein taxed at \$52.50.		
July 13	Writ of execution requested - Fee paid		
July 13	Writ of execution issued in sum of \$552.50 (plus \$1.00 accrued costs, total \$552.50).		
July 13	Done in open court this 13 day of July, 1959. Lind Bond ordered exonerated and returned to Plaintiff.		
July 13	Writ of execution returned fully satisfied.		

July 16, 1959 - Lind Bond - \$52.50 received for refund of writ bond. Mailed to Plaintiff.
July 16, 1959 - Constable's return filed on satisfaction in full of third party claim.

1.00
Ret # 9126

James M. Putney
Judge of the Justice Court
Sierra District

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Bill Nash

Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Crump, Joe I and
Joe II

Defendant S

Action DamagesDemand, \$ 495.75Donald C. Thuesen

Attorney for Plaintiff

Leonard J. Zins

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 59	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Apr 15	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing fee paid. Ret # 8997</u>	5 00	
May 19	Answer filed by Def't J. W. Crump. <u>Fee paid. Ret # 9053</u>		2 00
May 19	Request for setting time filed by counsel for Plaintiff. Time requested between July 15 to July 25, 1959 Trial by jury waived.		
May 19	Time of trial set for Wednesday, July 15, 1959, at 2 P.M. Notice mailed to both counsel.		
July 15	Continued as to Sept. 10, 1959 at 2 P.M. on request of counsel for Plaintiff, subject to agreement by defense		
Sept 1	Taken from calendar on request of counsel for Plaintiff.		
Sept 4	Notice of dismissal filed by counsel for Plaintiff. Counsel unable to locate Plain- tiff so prosecution can proceed.		
Sept 4	Dismissal with prejudice ordered.		

Marcio M. Putney

Marcio M. Putney
Judge of the Justice Court
Sierra Judicial District

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

John H. Silva, Virginia
De Silva

Plaintiff s

vs.

Stanley Walker, Milton
Henschfelt, Does One to Ten

Defendant s

Action DamagesDemand, \$ 354 47James B. Walter

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 1959	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
May 12	Complaint filed and Summons issued Filing fee paid - Ret # 9038	5 00	
May 22	Defendant Stanley Walker in court - Instructed to file answer within 10 days of date he was served.		
May 28	Defendant Stanley Walker present in court, fails to file answer, and states he "guesses he will write the lawyer and tell him he will pay so much a month or a week".		
Dec 29	Return on summons filed. Service May 28, 1959 on Stanley Walker.		
Dec 29	Request to enter default of ^{of Def. Stanley Walker} filed. Affiant re military service filed. Court bill filed in sum of \$7 75.		
Dec 29	Default judgment entered under the provisions of Sec. 585.1, CCP in the sum of \$354 47 principal together with costs therein taxed at \$7 75, Total \$362 22. Done this 29 th day of December, 1959		
	<u>Donald M. Putney</u> Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		
1960			
Feb 19	Request for certified copy of judgment filed.		
Feb 19	Counsel for Plaintiff requested to furnish corrected copy of "Request for Default" to court to replace request incorrectly naming one of the Plaintiffs as Defendant.		
Mar 10	Corrected copy request to enter default received and filed.		
Mar 10	Fee paid for certified copies of judgment.	50	
Mar 10	Certified copy judgment forwarded to Counsel for Plaintiff.		
Mar 10	Certified copy judgment and certificate of facts re unsatisfied judgment mailed to Sgt. Milton Kukulka at Sacramento.		
	<u>Donald M. Putney</u> Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		

Ret # 958

In the Justice's Court of Siering Township,
County of Madura, State of California

Olga Klette

Plaintiff

vs.

Carl W. Johnson

Defendant

Action Rent & DamagesDemand, \$ 275.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>59</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
May 18	Complaint filed and Summons issued. <u>Filing fee paid R# 9050</u>	5.00	
✓ 18	<u>Affidavit & undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Writ of attachment issued in sum of \$100.00</u>		
May 29	<u>Time for answering or demurring - June 5, 1959</u>		
June 12	<u>Return on Summons filed. Service May 20, 1959. Costs of service \$22.00</u>		
June 12	<u>Request for default filed. Affidavit of facts filed \$28.50.</u>		
June 12	<u>Affidavit of military service filed.</u>		
June 12	<u>Testimony heard from Plaintiff. Plaintiff examined as to sums claimed and damages sustained. The court being satisfied that damage took place as alleged, and defendant having been duly notified to appear, and legal time for answering having expired, and no answer or demurrer being then filed, and therefore it is ordered that Plaintiff do have and recover from defendant the sum of \$275.00 together with costs thereon taxed at \$28.50, total \$303.50. Done in open court this 12 June, 1959</u>		
	<u><i>[Signature]</i></u> Judge of the Justice Court Sierra District		
June 12	<u>Plaintiff requests writ of execution. Fee paid. <u>R# 9054</u></u>	1.00	
June 12	<u>Writ of Execution issued in sum of \$303.50, plus accrued costs of \$1.00, total \$304.50.</u>		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

James M. Haggard

Plaintiff

vs.

Stephen Andizzone


Defendant

Action Complaint ^{by} against JamesDemand, \$ 475.00J. A. Boyle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>59</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
July 24	Complaint filed and Summons issued. Filing fee paid. Ref # 9235	5.00	
Aug 14	Return on Summons - Service August 10, 1959. Fees \$2.40, Marshall Bookman, Glendale, serving officer.		
Sept 21	Motion filed by Plaintiff's Attorney for dismissal of action, allegation having been fully satisfied.		
Sept 21	Motion granted. Dismissal with prejudice ordered.		
	 Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		

Apr 26-1960 - Notice of full satisfaction filed by counsel for Plaintiff
Apr 27-1960 - Motion filed and granted for dismissal with prejudice against defendant Charles A. Putney, Plaintiff's Counsel & Deceased

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Loyce Kimbro

Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Homan, Ray Clark,
Ralph Burns, Dean Chard,
Does I to V

Defendant

Action Complaint for rent

Demand, \$ 250.00

Blaine Pettitt

Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert K. Tullen Att. for Homan

Attorney for Defendant

Apr 26-1960 - Notice of full satisfaction filed by counsel for Plaintiff
Apr 27-1960 - Motion filed and granted for dismissal with prejudice against defendants Charles Homan, Ralph Burns, Dean Chard & Does I to V

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
1959			
Aug 21	Complaint filed and Summons issued <i>Filing fee paid. Ret# 9346</i>	5 00	
Aug 21	<i>affidavit and undertaking for attachment issued. Writ of attachment issued.</i>		
Sept 3	<i>Defendant Charles Homan, appears in court, states he is denying allegations and contesting action against him. He is advised to contact counsel. Time for answering continued an additional 10 days beyond date of service on him of summons and writ.</i>		
Sept 14	<i>Counsel for plaintiff request additional writ of attachment to be served on Defendants not yet served. Writ issued.</i>		
Sept 15	<i>Answer filed by deft. Charles Homan, general & specific denial.</i>		2 00
Sept 30	<i>Return filed on writ of attachment showing attachment as follows: Raymond A. Clark \$33.01; Dean V. Chard \$77.42</i>		
Oct 1	<i>No return having been filed on service of Summons, on any of defendants, and defendant, Charles Homan having filed answer, and the court believing said defendant has right to be heard, now therefore the matter of complaint and answer re defendant Charles Homan is set down for hearing on October 15, 1959 at 2 o'clock P. M.</i>		
Oct 14	<i>Defense counsel states he & Plaintiff counsel with hearing reset to 2 P. M. on November 5, 1959</i>		
Oct 14	<i>Return on attachment on Homan filed showing \$71.41 under attachment.</i>		
Nov 5	<i>Return on Summons on Deft's Homan and Clark filed.</i>		
Nov 5	<i>Motion by counsel for Plaintiff, agreed to by counsel for deft. Charles Homan for continuation in the matter of Deft. Homan, hearing to be re-set upon 30 days notice by either party. Motion granted.</i>		
Nov 5	<i>Request for entry of default against deft. Ray Clark. Affidavit re military service filed. Court held filed.</i>		
Nov 5	<i>Defendant Ray Clark having been duly served and legal time for answering having expired, and no answer or answer being here filed, now therefore it is ordered that Plaintiff do have and recover from defendant Ray Clark, the sum of \$250.00 principal, together with interest in the sum of \$57.20 and costs taxed at \$16.20, total judgment \$323.40.</i>		
Dec 4	<i>Motion for dismissal without costs and with prejudice against defendant Dean Chard filed. Motion granted. Summons ordered.</i>		
Dec 4	<i>Plaintiff request issuance of duplicate writ of execution against defendant Ray Clark. Writ issued. Fee paid.</i>		
Feb 23	<i>Return on Writ of execution filed on deft. Ray Clark, showing collection totaling \$160.67, plus costs of \$9.41.</i>	2 00	1 00

Man. 15 - Plaintiff requests new writ of execution against Deft. Ray
man. 15 - writ of execution in sum of \$21.64.
Apr 19 - writ of execution returned full satisfaction including \$4.09 costs of service.

Ret# 9526
Ret# 9525

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Modoc, State of California

W. R. Cohan

Plaintiff

vs.

Terry Sanders

Defendant


Action Complaint for debt

Demand, \$ 279 ¹³

Gutnick & Holm

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
			Plaintiff	Defendant
19	60			
May 10	Complaint filed and Summons issued	Filing fee paid Ret. # 0039	5.00	
May 19	Return filed. Service May 16, 1960. Cost of service \$7.30.			
June 1	Plaintiff requests default of defendant be entered. Affidavit re military service filed.			
June 1	Plaintiff requests default judgment be entered. Testimony heard from Plaintiff.			
June 1	Defendant having been duly served with notice to appear, and legal time for answering having expired, and no demurrer nor answering having been filed, nor therefore it is ordered that Plaintiff do have and recover from defendant the sum of \$279.13 principal, together with costs therein taxed at \$12.30, for a total of \$291.43.			
	Done in open court this 1 st day of June, 1960.			
	 Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District			
June 13	Plaintiff requests writ of Execution. Fee paid	Ret. # 0073	1.00	
June 13	Writ of Execution issued in sum of \$279.13 principal, costs \$12.30; accrued costs \$1.00, total \$292.43.			
July 11	Writ of Execution returned. Partial satisfaction as follows: \$41.64 on principal, \$7.00 (Officer made error of \$.30 in figuring) costs of service. Balance due \$220.49.			

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

C. E. Gustafson

Plaintiff

vs.

R. H. Boswell, Joe 1 and
Joe 2, dba The Pines Service

Defendant

Action Open A/cDemand, \$ 70³³

Thomas A. Cosgrove

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>60</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Aug 2	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing fee paid. Ret # 0226</u>	6.00	
Sept 2	Returns on Summons filed. Service Aug. 18, 1960.		
Sept 2	Request for entry of default filed. Affidavit re: military service filed.		
Sept 2	Memoirandum of Costs filed as follows, to wit: <u>Filing fees - \$6.00; Notary fees \$1.00; Service fees \$12.00;</u> <u>Total \$19.00.</u>		
Sept 2	Defendant having been duly served with notice to appear, and legal time for answering having expired, and no answer or demurrer having been filed, now therefore it is ordered that Plaintiff do have and recover from Defendant the sum of \$70 ³³ principal, \$4 ¹⁰ interest together with costs therein taxed at \$19 ²⁰ , for a total of \$93 ⁶³ . Dated this 2 nd day of September, 1960. <u>Marcus M. Putney</u> Mason St. Building Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		
Oct 18	Atty. for Plaintiff requests writ of execution. Fee paid	1.00	
Oct 18	Writ of execution issued in sum of \$70 ³³ principal, \$4 ¹⁰ interest, \$19 ²⁰ costs, \$1 ⁰⁰ accrued costs, total \$94 ⁶³ .		

Ret #
0427

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Modoc, State of California

American Forest Products

Corporation, a Corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Richard H. Graham, also known as

Lick L. Graham also known as
Lick Graham First Son to Defendant 5
Exhibit

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand, \$ Restitution of Premises and \$1⁰⁰ per

day, each day since June 12, 1961, and for treble

Richard L. Cheltree Attorney for Plaintiff

Ernest L. Coffey, Coffey and Wolfe
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1961	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Oct 13	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Repaid Ret. #0991</u>	6 00	
Oct 18	<u>Demurrer filed by Defendant Richard D. Graham</u> <u>Fee paid. Ret #0997</u>		2 00
Oct 18	<u>Demurrer overruled. Due to answer, on or before</u> <u>the 2nd day of November, 1961.</u>		
Nov 1	<u>Answer filed by Defendant, Dick H. Graham - specific</u> <u>denial each and every allegation, excepting only occupa-</u> <u>tion of premises.</u>		
Nov 2	<u>Time set for trial - November 16, 1961 at 2 o'clock P.M.</u>		
Nov 10	<u>Request for continuance to 2 P.M. November 27, 1961 by Plaintiff.</u>		
Nov 15	<u>Request granted on condition written stipulation be filed by counsel</u> <u>Stipulation for continuance to Nov. 27, 1961 filed</u>		
Nov 20	<u>Stipulation for judgment, by and between Richard L.</u> <u>Cheltree, counsel for Plaintiff, Ernest L. Coffey, Counsel</u> <u>for Defendant, and Dick D. Graham, Defendant,</u> <u>filed, to wit: recovery and restitution of premises, and</u> <u>for the sum of \$176⁰⁰ rents and damages, together with</u>		
Nov 20	<u>Pursuant to filing of stipulation, and good cause appearing</u> <u>therefor, It is hereby ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff</u> <u>do have and recover from the Defendant as follows:</u> <u>Restitution and possession of certain premises designated</u> <u>Company Home # 25, together with the sum of \$176⁰⁰</u> <u>rents and damages, and costs of suit.</u> <u>Entered this 20th day of November, 1961</u> <u>Marcel M. Butner</u> Judge of the Court Sierra Judicial District		
Nov 20	<u>Notice of entry of judgment</u> <u>mailed to all parties hereto.</u>		
Nov 27	<u>Cost bill filed by counsel for Plaintiff: filing fee \$6⁰⁰,</u> <u>sheriff's fees \$2³⁵, total \$8³⁵, said costs included in</u> <u>judgment as entered in the sum of \$176⁰⁰ principal, costs</u> <u>per cost bill filed \$8³⁵, making total of said</u> <u>judgment \$184³⁵.</u>		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madison, State of California

Merchants Ass'n. of Fresno, a Corp.
dba Retailers Adjustment &
Collection Bureau vs. Prosser T. Harman and Blanche
Harman, John Hoe, and June Hoe

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action Open book acct - (Accts. stated)Demand, \$ 65⁷⁸Allen S. Mason

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 19 <u>63</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
Apr 7 8	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Ret # 1723</u>	6.00	
May 22	Certificate of Service filed on Summons by Constable <u>Mason</u> . Service made April 13, 1963		
May 22	Received from Plaintiff request for entry of default, request for issuance of Abstract of Judgment, and check for \$1.00 for Abstract -		
May 31	Check, request for entry of default and request for Abstract returned to Plaintiff by reason of amount stated as due on principal \$70 ⁷⁸ rather than \$65 ⁷⁸ as named in complaint, a discrepancy of \$5 ⁰⁰ . Plaintiff instructed to correct and re- submit.		
June 19	Request to enter default refiled, together with request for entry of judgment, affidavit re military service, and request for abstract of judgment, and memorandum of costs.		
June 19	Defendants having been duly served with notice to appear, and legal time for answering having expired and no answer or demurrer having been filed, and therefore, on this 19 th day of June, 1963 he it ordered that the default of the defendants be entered as follows: Demand \$65 ⁷⁸ , less credit for payment of \$30 ⁰⁰ , leaving balance of \$35 ⁷⁸ , together with interest in the sum of \$4 ⁵⁵ , and cost assessed at \$14 ⁸⁰ for a total of \$55 ¹³ , and he it ordered that Plaintiff do have and recover from Defendants the sum of \$55 ¹³ .		
June 19	Fee paid for Abstract of Judgment	1.00	
June 19	Abstract of Judgment issued.		
	<u>Marcia M. Putney</u> Marcia M. Putney Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		
July 5 1964	Cost bill after judgment filed - \$3.00 and allowed.		
Jan 20	Notice of Satisfaction in full filed by Allen S. Mason, Counsel for Plaintiff.		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Modero, State of California

Deloyd T. Honnell

Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Cipallo, James Lee
and John Lee

Defendant

Action Complaint for Damages

Demand, \$ 347²¹

J. C. Hammel, CSAA +
J. E. Marquest Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334
PRINTED BY CARLISLE, S. F.

DATE 19 <u>63</u>	PROCEEDINGS	FEES	
		Plaintiff	Defendant
June 21	Complaint filed and Summons issued <u>Filing fee paid</u> <u>Ret # 1791</u>	6 00	
Sept 17	Request for setting of time for hearing on motion for default, by J. C. Hammel, atty., Calif. State Auto Assn.		
Sept 12	Letter to atty. Hammel - hearing set for 2 P.M. October 3, 1963.		
Sept 19	Return filed on Summons. Service August 12, 1963. Costs of Service \$17 ²⁵ .		
Sept 19	Plaintiff requests Default of defendant be entered. Affidavit re military service filed.		
Sept 19	Defendant having been duly served with summons, and no demurrer or answer having been filed, and time for answering having expired, default of defendant is herewith entered as petitioned.		
Oct 3	Attorney for Plaintiff in court. Filed with court itemized statement and bill of particulars on amount claimed as damages. Testimony of Plaintiff's counsel heard.		
Oct 3	Plaintiff's counsel requests judgment be entered in the sum of \$347 ²¹ principal together with costs therein taxed at \$13 ²⁵ , for a total of \$360 ⁴⁶ .		
Oct 3	Plaintiff having been duly served with summons to appear, and the legal time for demurring or answering having expired, and no demurrer or answer having been filed, nor surety, he is ordered that Plaintiff do here and recover from defendant the sum of \$347 ²¹ principal together with costs therein taxed at \$13 ²⁵ , for a total of \$360 ⁴⁶ . Done in open court this 3rd day of October, 1963.		
Oct 3	Notice of rendition and entry of judgment mailed to parties hereto. <u>Marcia M. Putney</u> Judge of the Justice Court Sierra Judicial District		
Oct 3	Counsel for Plaintiff requests Abstract of Judgment. Fee paid.	1 00	
Oct 3	Abstract of Judgment issued.		
Dec 10	Assignment of Judgment by Calif. State Auto Assn. to B. H. Freeman, d/b/a Freeman Adjustment Bureau, filed.		

In the Justice's Court of Sierra Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

FORM 334

PRINTED BY CARLISLE S. F.

[illegible]

County of _____ Court of _____
County of _____

Secretary

Filed _____

Witness _____

County Clerk and Secretary

